

FINAL REPORT

May 2016

Technical Assessment of an HFC-134a Phase-Out in the Chinese Light-Duty Motor Vehicle Sector

Li Du, Dan Meszler, and Ray Minjares

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the members of the Technical Advisory Committee to this study, including Tao Zhan, California Air Resources Board; Stephen Andersen, Institute for Governance and Sustainable Development; and Xin Yan, Energy Foundation China. Authors are grateful for additional data and guidance provided by Prof. HU Jianxin, Peking University and Yuke Li, China Automotive Technology Research Center. This work was made possible through the generous support of Energy Foundation China.

For additional information:

International Council on Clean Transportation
1225 I Street, NW Suite 900
Washington, DC 20005 USA

communications@theicct.org | www.theicct.org | @theicct

© 2016 International Council on Clean Transportation

Table of Contents

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	IV
INTRODUCTION	1
MOBILE AIR CONDITIONING SYSTEMS IN CHINA.....	4
PENETRATION OF MAC SYSTEMS	4
MAC CHARGE SIZE.....	6
REFRIGERANT EMISSIONS	7
VEHICLE LIFETIME AND ACTIVITY.....	11
BENEFITS OF HFC-134A PHASE-OUT IN CHINA.....	13
ALTERNATIVES TO HFC-134A	13
DATA AND ASSUMPTIONS	15
PHASE-DOWN SCENARIOS	17
RESULTS	19
SOCIAL BENEFIT OF REDUCED GHG EMISSIONS	23
IMPLICATIONS FOR MONTREAL PROTOCOL AMENDMENTS	25
COSTS OF HFC-134A PHASE-OUT IN CHINA.....	26
DATA AND ASSUMPTIONS	26
INCREMENTAL COSTS OF HFC-134A PHASE-OUT	32
IMPACTS OF HFC-134A PHASE-OUT ON THE ECONOMIC COMPETITIVENESS OF THE CHINESE AUTO SECTOR	35
OVERVIEW OF THE AUTOMOTIVE MARKET	35
GLOBALLY-OPTIMUM INDUSTRY RESPONSE.....	37
CHINA: GLOBAL VERSUS NATIONAL FOCUS	38
HFC-134A PHASE-OUT TIMING	41
CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....	43
REFERENCES	45
ANNEX A: SENSITIVITY OF COSTS AND BENEFITS TO HIGH EMISSION ASSUMPTIONS	51
ANNEX B: SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS OF KEY INPUT PARAMETERS.....	55
ANNEX C: CHINA DATA SUMMARY	63

Executive Summary

In response to the globally-adopted 1987 Montreal Protocol, the refrigerant used in mobile air conditioning (MAC) systems was transitioned away from the stratospheric ozone depleting chlorofluorocarbon CFC-12 to the zero ozone depleting hydrofluorocarbon HFC-134a. China completed its transition from CFC-12 in 2001. The HFC-134a system remains the dominant MAC system in China and around the world.

While possessing zero ozone depletion potential, HFC-134a is a potent greenhouse gas. As a result, it too has become the focus of transitional efforts. One gram of HFC-134a possesses the same global warming potential as 1549 grams of CO₂.¹ Although potent, it is worth noting that this potential is about 87 percent lower than that of CFC-12. Nevertheless, substantial additional reductions can be achieved through a transition away from HFC-134a. Potential alternative refrigerants include HFC-152a (with a warming potential of 167, 89.2 percent lower than that to HFC-134a), HFO-1234yf (with a warming potential of less than one, 99.9 percent lower than that of HFC-134a), and carbon dioxide (with a warming potential of one, 99.9 percent lower than that of HFC-134a).

The United States (US) the European Union (EU) and Japan have adopted requirements to phase-out HFC-134a. The EU transition for light duty vehicles is slated to be complete by 2017. HFC-134a is banned from US light duty vehicles beginning in vehicle model year 2021. Japan will transition away from HFC-134a in light duty vehicles by 2023. In a number of countries, MAC refrigerant is included in more general hydrofluorocarbon (HFC, which includes both HFC-134a and HFC-152a) policies that either require the phase-down of usage or impose significant tax burdens designed to promote the cost effectiveness of alternative refrigerants. For example, Japan has adopted requirements for the phase-down of HFCs across all economic sectors, while various countries, including Australia and several EU members, impose global warming-based taxes on HFCs. Consensus is also building within the global community to address HFC emissions under the umbrella of an amended Montreal Protocol.

The Chinese automobile industry has expanded from 1.5 million units produced in 1995 to 22 million units in 2013. Almost all light duty vehicles now produced in China (and globally) include air conditioning systems. Emissions of HFC-134a from the MAC sector are estimated to have tripled in just the five-year period between 2005 and 2010 and will continue to increase as the Chinese economy expands.

The Chinese government has taken some preliminary steps towards multilateral action on HFCs. President Xi and US President Obama jointly agreed (in 2013) to

¹ All GWP values in this paper include climate-carbon feedbacks. A sensitivity analysis using GWPs without climate-carbon feedbacks can be found in Annex C. According to IPCC AR5 WG 1 Section 8.7.1.4, “Though uncertainties in the carbon cycle are substantial, it is *likely* that including the climate–carbon feedback for non-CO₂ gases as well as for CO₂ provides a better estimate of the metric value than including it only for CO₂.” All cited global warming potentials adopt a 100 year time horizon (GWP-100).

“phase down² production and consumption of HFCs.” This agreement provides high-level political support and an opening for mid-level regulatory efforts to explore how China could implement a national HFC phase-down. This analysis estimates the greenhouse gas reduction benefits associated with a transition away from the use of HFC-134a in China as a MAC refrigerant and qualitatively evaluates the effects of such a transition (or alternatively the effects of a decision not to undertake such a transition) on the global competitiveness of the Chinese automobile industry.

A variety of previous Chinese studies and data sources were reviewed to develop an accurate analysis dataset. Developed data include China-specific data on light duty vehicles sales and stock, the share of vehicles equipped with MAC systems, MAC system refrigerant charge sizes, typical refrigerant leakage rates (both during regular vehicle operations and during irregular events such as vehicle accidents that result in catastrophic system releases), and typical end-of-life emissions associated with vehicle scrappage and disposal.

Most of the data utilized in previous China studies are based on researchers’ assumptions or modeled estimates rather than surveys and production reports. In many cases, “default” values developed by global researchers are used in lieu of China-specific data. While this study attempts to improve on these methods, it is also recommended that China researchers continue to develop local data sources through local surveys, and obtain and evaluate available production reports from local industry. Such data will be invaluable to validate analysis assumptions and minimize estimation uncertainty.

For this analysis, we generally combine data derived from China-specific studies with more robust data developed to support air conditioning system analysis in the US to develop a complete analysis dataset.

To evaluate the emissions impacts associated with a transition away from HFC-134a, we also develop a set of corresponding MAC system data for three alternative refrigerants: HFC-152a, HFO-1234yf, and CO₂ (R-744). HFC-152a is a colorless gas under atmospheric conditions, with minimal toxicity and moderate flammability. Because of potential flammability concerns, a secondary loop MAC system is commonly proposed to isolate the HFC-152a refrigerant circuit from the vehicle passenger cabin. HFC-152 has a higher global warming potential (167) than either HFO-1234yf (<1) or CO₂ (1). HFO-1234yf is designated as an A2 refrigerant, indicating unidentified toxicity under low or moderate concentrations and mild flammability. Daimler cited potential flammability and toxicity concerns as rationale for not producing HFO-1234yf systems in Europe, supported by the German government³ and local German NGOs. HFO-1234yf is nearly a “drop-in” substitute for HFC-134a. CO₂, designated as R-744 when used as a refrigerant, is a colorless and odorless gas under atmospheric conditions. It is designated as an A1 refrigerant, indicating no flammability and minimal toxicity. CO₂ MAC systems

² Throughout this document the phrase “phase-out” refers specifically to HFC-134a in the light-duty vehicle sector. We acknowledge that international negotiations around high-GWP HFCs are oriented around different aim, which is a “phase-down” of HFC production and consumption.

³ The European Commission has referred the German government to the European Court of Justice for type approving Daimler vehicles that did not use low-GWP refrigerant. See http://europa.eu/rapid/press-release_IP-15-6290_en.htm

operate at pressures 5 to 10 times higher than HFC-134a systems, and therefore demand more durable system components. German automaker Daimler recently announced it would commercialize a CO₂ MAC system in their luxury Mercedes E and S Class vehicles starting in model year 2016.

Based on the similarity of the thermophysical properties of HFO-1234yf and HFC-134a, this analysis assumes that MAC system charge sizes will be comparable. HFC-152a and CO₂ systems are assumed to be 45 and 30 percent smaller respectively than comparable HFC-134a systems. On the basis of their respective thermophysical properties, this analysis assumes a leakage rate for HFO-1234yf that is 8 percent higher than that of HFC-134a. Through a similar thermophysical analysis, the leakage rate of HFC-152a is estimated to be 43 percent lower than an equivalent HFC-134a system. Leakage rates for CO₂ systems are not easily estimated given differential system performance parameters and a lack of currently operating systems, but system operating pressures hint at higher emissions potential. For this analysis, it is assumed that leakage rates for CO₂ systems will be 20 percent higher (per unit charge) than the corresponding rates for an HFC-134a system.

Multiple scenarios representing various possible policy pathways for the transition to alternative refrigerants were evaluated. Vehicle model year 2018 was considered as the earliest year that is realistic for any policy to be implemented given the current date and regulatory circumstances. Modeling of the various scenarios extends through model year 2050. In the business-as-usual (BAU) scenario, it is assumed that China continues to allow the use of HFC-134a in MAC systems without any further regulation. The policy drivers for alternative future scenarios range from the adoption of regulations similar to those issued in the EU or US to the proposed timelines put forward in recently proposed amendments to regulate HFCs under the Montreal Protocol. The implementation timeline for these scenarios are represented in Figure ES-1.





Figure ES-1. Overview of Evaluated HFC-134a Transitional Policy Scenarios

Scenario 1 assumes that China begins a six-year transition from HFC-134a immediately after the EU completes its transition to low GWP MAC systems in 2017. Scenario 2 assumes that China begins a six-year transition from HFC-134a

immediately after the US completes its transition away from HFC-134a MAC systems in 2021. Under Scenario 3, it is assumed that China implements a seven-year transition beginning in 2018, analogous to its earlier transition away from CFC-12. Scenario 4 also begins transitioning in 2018, but accomplishes that transition in a shorter five-year timeframe intended to mimic a typical five-year vehicle redesign schedule. Scenario 5 is based on the transitional schedules of recent Montreal Protocol proposals. Scenarios 5a and 5b are based on the proposals from North America and India, respectively, while Scenario 5c is consistent with the proposals from the EU and Island States. In all cases, it is assumed that the MAC sector will be among the first targets and that MAC HFC-134a will be phased-out over no less than a five-year period to reflect the standard design cycle for new vehicles.

Figure ES-2 shows the refrigerant emissions estimates under low emission analysis assumptions. Under a baseline HFC-134a future, refrigerant CO₂-equivalent emissions are expected to reach 80 trillion grams (Tg) per year by 2050, an approximate 4 fold increase from 2015. Alternative refrigerants can significantly reduce GHG emissions, but the timing of the transition plays a critical role in the cumulative emission reductions achieved by 2050. The most aggressive transitional policies are able to completely phase-out HFC-134a by 2035 or 2040.






Figure ES-2. CO₂-Equivalent Emissions of HFO-1234yf and R-744 by Policy Scenario

Given the relative uncertainty in the emission rates associated with alternative MAC systems, a sensitivity analysis was conducted that doubled the emission rates for the HFO-1234yf, HFC-152a, and CO₂ MAC systems (see Annex A). The resulting change in the cumulative emission reduction for both HFO-1234yf and CO₂ was less than 0.05 percent (both refrigerants reduce unit-mass CO₂-equivalent emissions by over 99.9 percent, so changes in overall CO₂-equivalent emission reductions to even large - but still realistic - changes in emissions of either refrigerant are exceedingly minor). Since HFC-152a has a higher global warming potential, emission reductions are somewhat more sensitive to emission rate, but the difference is still less than 5 percent. Thus, while there continues to be uncertainty with regard to the precise level of refrigerant emissions, there is only minor uncertainty in the significance of the emission reductions associated with a transition away from HFC-134a.

As with any alternative technology, there will be costs associated with low GWP refrigerant MAC systems. Such costs accrue not only from the refrigerant itself, but from modifications to the MAC system to accommodate the different chemical and physical characteristics of the refrigerants. Figure ES-4 presents associated cost per tonne of CO₂-equivalent emission reduction. While HFC-152a is the most cost effective alternative, that cost effectiveness is based on lesser emission reductions than either HFO-1234yf or CO₂. Although HFC-152a has a substantially lower warming potential than HFC-134a, it is still substantially higher than that of HFO-1234yf and CO₂, so that future efforts to further reduce warming emissions might ultimately target even HFC-152a for reduction. There is, therefore, the continuing risk of future investment beyond that indicated here.

The cost effectiveness for HFO-1234yf declines from about 484 yuan per tonne (¥/mt) to about 312 ¥/mt by 2050, while that for CO₂ declines from about 832 to about 436 ¥/mt over the same period. For HFC-152a the cost effectiveness declines from ¥241/mt to ¥179/mt by 2050. To put such costs in perspective, it is convenient to express them in terms of euros per grams reduced per kilometer (€/g/km), as such a metric can be more easily compared to alternative CO₂ reduction technology being implemented on light duty vehicles in Europe. The equivalent cost for

HFO-1234yf declines from about 16 €/g/km to about 11 €/g/km by 2050, while that for CO₂ declines from about 28 to about 15 €/g/km over the same period. HFC-152a has the lowest equivalent cost, declining from €8/g/km to €6/g/km by 2050. Both current and future costs for HFO-1234yf, HFC-152a and the future costs of CO₂ are cheaper than virtually all available engine technologies targeting CO₂ reduction in Europe, while the current costs of CO₂ are competitive with *cheaper* available engine technology. All costs are well below the 95 €/g/km penalty that the EU will impose for non-compliance with applicable CO₂ emission standards. In effect, the costs for all three alternative refrigerants are quite attractive on a cost per unit emission reduction basis in the transportation sector.

All three alternatives become even more attractive when the social costs associated with the additional CO₂ emissions that would occur if a transition was not made away from HFC-134a are considered. Figure ES-5 shows the avoided social cost of carbon (SCC) under the seven scenarios modeled in this report, equal to up to more than 1000 billion yuan. Differences in the avoided SCC under each of the scenarios reinforces the importance that the overall timing of the transition on the benefits.




Figure ES-4. Cost Effectiveness of Alternative MAC Systems




Figure ES-5. Avoided social cost of carbon by transitioning to low-GWP MACs in the Chinese passenger vehicle fleet under a low emission assumption

Ultimately, a decision to (or not to) transition away from HFC-134a MAC systems will impact the global competitiveness of the Chinese automotive industry. In many respects, China cannot be isolated from the effects of such a transition regardless of national decision making simply because transitions are already underway in the EU, Japan and the US. As shown in Figure ES-6, the combined US and EU markets account for a bit over a third (36.2 percent) of current light duty sales, while China itself accounts for another 24 percent. Thus, while sales in other areas of the globe are significant and nonetheless important from an economic standpoint, China must, to be a globally competitive player in the automotive manufacturing market, continue to develop and maintain a presence in the US and EU markets. The importance of such presence is amplified when one considers that many of the other regional markets look to either the US or EU for leadership when developing their own regional standards. The footprint of US and EU regulatory programs expands well beyond their respective geopolitical borders.




Figure ES-6. Global Light Duty Vehicle Sales Shares

In the absence of confounding issues, the globally-optimum automotive industry response to efforts to reduce the GWP of MAC systems would be to simply select the most cost-effective low GWP refrigerant and transition the industry en masse toward universal replacement. MAC systems are essentially a commodity for manufacturers. Generally, vehicles either have a MAC system or they do not (and today, almost all vehicles are MAC equipped). While there are functional aspects of climate control systems, such as multi-zonal controls that are used as distinguishing marketing features, the basic functionality of the underlying refrigeration system is essentially common across manufacturers. Thus, from a standardization perspective, the use of a single common refrigerant minimizes not only equipment procurement and assembly line requirements, but also refrigerant production and aftermarket service and training requirements. Of course, there will be a need to retain an HFC-134a infrastructure throughout the phase-out period of existing systems, but the industry would optimize that phase-out by selecting a single replacement.

Unfortunately, there are confounding issues. Different manufacturers have different opinions on the optimum replacement refrigerant. Issues with regard to refrigerant safety and decomposition products are not fully resolved. Potential cost premiums associated with HFO-1234yf patent claims are of concern. And, the differential cost of refrigerant-specific technology, both at installation and during in-use service life, is not known with certainty. Thus, manufacturers have not reached consensus. While the overwhelming majority of automotive manufacturers appear to be committed to an HFO-1234yf future, there are some that continue to pursue CO₂ systems as a preferred solution.

In the absence of global agreement on the control of HFCs, China can, in all likelihood, nurture a robust *national* automotive manufacturing industry for the foreseeable future without regard to MAC refrigerant. The Chinese market is sufficiently large to allow for the development of parallel MAC system technologies, one based on extra-national requirements and demands that are satisfied by

industry responses independent of the Chinese market and a second based solely on industry responses tailored specifically to China. So long as China maintains sufficient national assembly capacity and associated supply chains, the national market is more than large enough to operate in accordance with whatever national requirements Chinese regulators opt to impose (or not impose should the transition away from HFC-134a be viewed as deleterious). However, there are costs associated with either action (i.e., transitioning to lower GWP refrigerants) or inaction (i.e., not transitioning).

Should China elect to defer transition while extra-national markets are actively moving toward a low GWP refrigerant future, the ability for Chinese automakers to develop export markets will be substantially inhibited. Chinese manufacturers will be required to maintain separate assembly and supply chains for vehicles produced for the domestic and export markets, in effect subjecting expansion minded domestic manufacturers to the same dual system requirements that would face non-Chinese manufacturers wishing to do business in China. However, while the non-Chinese manufacturers have the advantage of leveraging joint venture relationships to access Chinese manufacturing plants and suppliers, the opposite may be much more difficult for Chinese manufacturers expanding into smaller, more diverse markets. This will put manufacturers interested in developing foreign markets at a competitive disadvantage relative to their purely domestic counterparts not burdened with satisfying differential extra-national requirements.

A decision to transition to low GWP refrigerant would carry the inherent incremental costs of the alternative MAC system, but would also bolster the ability of the domestic industry to expand globally. Additionally, the size of the Chinese market would likely reduce incremental costs due to high volume efficiencies of scale. While such costs would be borne equally by both globally-minded manufacturers and manufacturers content to compete only domestically, neither would be at any additional disadvantage (vis-à-vis current market forces in play). Domestic manufacturers participating in joint ventures with foreign manufacturers might find transitioning to low GWP refrigerants less disruptive than independent Chinese manufacturers, but it is expected that any advantage would be minor. MAC system components are generally automotive commodities manufactured by independent suppliers willing to satisfy purchase commitments from any automotive manufacturer.

From a vehicle service perspective, economic efficiency would also dictate a single universal MAC refrigerant. Regardless of national decision-making, China is almost certain to see some penetration of refrigerants other than HFC-134a in the national fleet – either through importation of extra-national vehicles or through the sales of joint venture manufacturers who simply find it advantageous to offer the same MAC technology across their entire market. For some global manufacturers selling in either the EU or US markets, a homogeneous technology slate will almost assuredly include refrigerant other than HFC-134a. Others may find the cost of low GWP MAC systems sufficiently high to continue offering technology tailored to individual markets, but some low GWP systems will almost certainly penetrate the Chinese fleet. This means that the China service industry will either have to invest in new equipment designed to service low GWP systems or service such systems inefficiently (and ineffectively) using HFC-134a (and bypassing refrigerant-specific service fittings while simultaneously voiding any MAC system warranties). Thus, even in the absence of an affirmative decision to transition to low GWP refrigerants, the Chinese service industry will still need to develop procedures to undertake

repairs on low GWP systems, including (ideally) the proper training of service personnel and the purchase of appropriate equipment. To the extent that such systems comprise only a fraction of the China fleet (as they might under a decision not to transition domestically), the cost effectiveness of such training and equipment would be substantially higher due to both a lower vehicle population over which to spread costs and the continuing requirement to simultaneously and indefinitely maintain high GWP service equipment and expertise.

Finally, the same efficiency that dictates a single MAC refrigerant from a global competitiveness standpoint, carries over to the minimization of consumer costs (as consumers will bear the added cost of any inefficiencies in both the automotive production and service industries). This cost can *only* be minimized under a streamlined single refrigerant response. The fact that global movement is toward a low GWP future ensures that China cannot minimize consumer cost impacts by maintaining and extending the service life of MAC technology that is not consistent with the transition of the largest global automotive markets. Both national efficiency and global competitiveness dictate movement away from HFC-134a.

China phased-out the use of CFC-12 as a MAC refrigerant over an eight-year period between 1994 and 2001. The duration of that phase-out roughly matches the seven year phase-in (2011 through 2017) of low GWP refrigerant requirements in the EU, but the EU schedule also included a five year lead time so that there was a 12 year period between adoption of the phase-out requirements and the complete prohibition of HFC-134a (EU 2006). The lead time requirement of the EU phase-out is roughly consistent with typical four to six year industry redesign cycles for individual vehicle models, essentially providing manufacturers with the opportunity to incorporate MAC system redesign into their normal product planning. With such an allowance, it is still possible to constrain the length of the overall phase-out period to roughly seven or eight years, consistent with the previous CFC-12 phase-out. An implementation schedule such as that depicted in Table ES-1 would accomplish a complete phase-out of HFC-134a in Chinese MAC systems by 2024.

Table ES-1. Proposed Phase-In Schedule for Low GWP MAC Refrigerant

Adoption Year Plus (years)	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Example Year	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
Minimum Low GWP Fraction of Models	0%	0%	0%	20%	40%	60%	80%	100%

Such a schedule should accommodate existing industry redesign plans as well as facilitate global competitiveness by allowing those manufacturers exploring extra-national markets to focus their refrigerant compliance efforts in China in a fashion consistent with any refrigerant requirements existing in the target extra-national markets. The schedule also facilitates service industry training and development, allowing repair facilities sufficient time to garner appropriate knowledge and procure equipment. Given the importance of the Chinese automotive market and the volume scale opportunities created by such market size, we see no reason why Chinese manufacturers cannot establish a competitive global-scale presence. To accomplish such expansion, however, China will need to foster technological advances that are at least as aggressive as those currently underway in the major extra-national markets (e.g., EU, US) currently served by global-scale players. Transitioning to low GWP MAC refrigerant should be an integral element of such an expansion effort.

Introduction

In response to the stratospheric ozone depletion characteristics of chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs) and other ozone depleting substances, the globally-adopted 1987 Montreal Protocol (UN 1987) effectively banned the continued production and sale of the then predominant mobile air conditioning (MAC) refrigerant CFC-12 (dichlorodifluoromethane; generally known under its trade name Freon). As a result, automotive manufacturers began producing MAC systems utilizing chlorine-free HFC-134a (1,1,1,2-tetrafluoroethane) refrigerant in the mid-1990s. From an ozone depletion perspective, this transition was tremendously successful as HFC-134a has an ozone depletion potential of zero.⁴ HFC-134a systems were (and remain) the dominant MAC systems in use through the global passenger vehicle fleet.

China first regulated MAC refrigerants in 1994 with the phase-down of CFC-12, and completely prohibited this refrigerant in new vehicles produced after 2001. As HFC-134a replaced CFC-12, the Chinese automobile industry has expanded from 1.5 million units produced in 1995 to 22 million units in 2013 (CATARC 2014). The penetration of MAC systems in the Chinese automobile market has grown rapidly, to the point where virtually all the cars produced since 2010 are equipped with MAC systems. This is supported by surveys conducted in China from 2004 to 2008 that show over 95% of passenger cars were equipped with air conditioning systems. As in other geographic regions, MAC charge size has been decreasing and is expected to continue to decline as technology improves.

Emissions of HFC-134a from the China MAC sector have tripled in the just the five-year period between 2005 and 2010 (Hu 2010) and will continue to increase as the Chinese economy expands. Su (2015) estimated that HFC-134a emissions from MAC sector in China increased tremendously from 0.03 Gg in 1995 to 16.7 Gg in 2010, reflecting a tremendous average annual growth rate of 53.3%. They projected that HFC-134a emissions under a business as usual (BAU) scenario will reach 89.4 Gg by 2030.

With the recognition of the global warming effects of various substances, HFC-134a has now become the focus of a second MAC refrigerant transition. With a 100 year global warming potential (GWP-100)⁵ of 1549⁶ (IPCC 2013), one gram of HFC-134a

⁴ Tremendous insights can be gained with regard to global warming issues (and potential policy) by reviewing the historic record related to stratospheric ozone depletion (a respectable resource list for starting such a review can be found at <https://www.epa.gov/ozone-layer-protection>).

⁵ Global warming potential (GWP) is a metric developed to standardize characterization of the greenhouse effects associated with various substances. By definition, carbon dioxide (CO₂) is assigned a GWP of one, so that the GWP of any substance is the mass of CO₂ that would produce the same warming effect as an identical mass of the given substance. Since different substances have differing atmospheric lifetimes, GWP is also measured over a standard timeframe, typically 20, 100, or 500 years (although any standard timeframe could be used). For convenience, the values cited in this paper are limited to 100 year, or GWP-100, values but GWP estimates for other timeframes are available. The issues discussed and conclusions

possesses the same global warming potential as 1549 grams of CO₂. Although the most potent greenhouse gas (GHG) emitted by passenger vehicles, it is worth recognizing that the global warming effects of HFC-134a (on a unit mass basis) are approximately 87 percent lower than the effects that would be observed were CFC-12 (with a GWP-100 of 11,547) still the predominant MAC refrigerant. Nevertheless, substantial additional reductions in GWP can be achieved through a second phase transition away from HFC-134a. Xu (2013) predicted that regulating HFCs from all sectors can avoid as much as 0.5 degrees C global warming by the end of the century. Potential alternative refrigerants include (among others):

- HFC-152a (1,1-difluoroethane, GWP-100 = 167, providing an effective unit mass GWP-100 reduction of 89.2 percent relative to HFC-134a and 98.6 percent relative to CFC-12),
- HFO-1234yf (2,3,3,3-tetrafluoropropene, GWP-100 < 1, providing an effective unit mass GWP-100 reduction of more than 99.9 percent relative to both HFC-134a and CFC-12), and
- Carbon dioxide (CO₂, GWP-100 = 1, providing an effective unit mass GWP-100 reduction of more than 99.9 percent relative to both HFC-134a and CFC-12).

Both the United States (US) and the European Union (EU) have adopted requirements that either force (EU and US) or create incentives (US) for automotive manufacturers to move toward the use of lower GWP refrigerants. The EU (EU 2006) requires the industry to transition to MAC systems using refrigerants with a GWP-100 of 150 or less.⁷ This transition began in 2008 by requiring low leak systems in vehicles using refrigerants with a GWP-100 of more than 150, and is scheduled to be completed by 2017 when all new systems using refrigerants with a GWP-100 of more than 150 are prohibited. The US has implemented a two-pronged low GWP strategy. HFC-134a (GWP-100 = 1549) is banned in light duty vehicles beginning in vehicle model year 2021 (US EPA 2015).⁸ While this ban doesn't explicitly require the use of any specific alternative refrigerant or establish an explicit GWP ceiling, the fact that all MAC refrigerants in the US must be approved under the US Environmental Protection Agency's Significant New Alternatives Program (SNAP) effectively limits available alternatives to HFC-152a, HFO-1234yf, and CO₂ (US EPA 2016). Additionally, under its

presented are insensitive to the timeframe of the associated GWP, so that GWP-100 alone serves as an adequate metric for this paper.

⁶ Unless otherwise stated, the GWP values presented in this paper include both direct and feedback-related effects. Values independent of feedback effects are also available, but have no effect on either the issues discussed or conclusions presented.

⁷ Although this paper presents a GWP-100 value 167 for of HFC-152a, based on data from the 2013 Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC 2013), the EU directive relies on corresponding values from the 2001 Third Assessment Report from that same organization (EU 2006). The GWP-100 value for HFC-152a from the Third Assessment Report is 120 (IPCC 2001), which meets the legally permitted limit of 150.

⁸ Continued use of HFC-134a is allowed though 2025 for exports destined to markets with service industries that cannot adequately handle an alternative refrigerant.

Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Corporate Average Fuel Economy Standards program for light duty vehicles (US EPA 2012), the US provides CO₂-equivalent emission reduction compliance credits for various MAC system improvements, including the use of low-GWP refrigerant. These credits can be used in lieu of more expensive engine efficiency improvement technologies.

In a number of countries, MAC refrigerant is included in more general hydrofluorocarbon policies that either require the phase-down of usage or impose significant tax burdens designed to promote the cost effectiveness of alternative refrigerants. For example, Japan has adopted requirements for the phase-down of HFCs across all economic sectors (METI 2013), which includes a 2023 target date to phase-out HFC-134a from passenger vehicles. Various countries, including Australia and several EU members, impose GWP-based taxes on HFCs, including those used in MAC systems (Thompson 2013). In addition to these existing regional efforts, consensus is building within the global community to phase-down HFC production and consumption under the umbrella of an amended Montreal Protocol (UN 2015). The 197 parties to the existing Montreal Protocol are working together within the framework of the Protocol to finalize plans under an amendment for a global phase-down of HFCs. If adopted, such an amendment would largely standardize a global HFC phase-down strategy and largely resolve all issues associated with the global competitiveness impacts of regional solutions.

The Chinese government has taken some preliminary steps towards multilateral action on HFCs. In 2013, President Obama and President Xi jointly agreed to “phase down production and consumption of HFCs”. The United States and China, will work together and with other countries through the expertise and institutions of Montreal Protocol. This agreement provides high-level political support and an opening for mid-level regulatory effort to begin to explore how China could implement a global phase-down of HFCs.

To inform future actions to phase-out HFC-134a in the Chinese auto sector, regulators will need information regarding the characteristics of the MAC sector, its emissions of HFC-134a, the cost of action, and the benefits to society. A number of studies (Clodic 2010; Hu 2009; Hu 2010; ICCT 2012a; Gloel 2014; PKU 2013; Su 2015) have addressed these topics using various approaches. However, many of these studies were built on assumptions or estimates that were not specific to China, but rather developed on the basis of broad socioeconomic or geographic characterizations (e.g., developed vs. developing nations), which leads to uncertainty for the Chinese market. In addition, assumptions and estimates display large variability, increasing associated uncertainty. This study presents a new assessment for HFC-134a phase-out in the Chinese light-duty fleet based on the best available technical information available from China and the international community.

Mobile Air Conditioning Systems in China

To understand the implications of an HFC-134a phase-out in the Chinese light-duty vehicle fleet, it is necessary to compile available China-specific data that can provide the technical underpinnings for this action.

This section describes the baseline and current options for MAC systems in China based on available data and published studies. The aim is to evaluate the data available for baseline refrigerants (primarily HFC-134a) in China and compile an associated set of input data for the ICCT refrigerant inventory model that will be the basis for cost and benefit estimates of alternative refrigerant systems.

Penetration of MAC systems

China first regulated CFC-12 use in MAC systems in 1994 by gradually reducing the production of vehicles equipped with CFC-12 systems. By the end of 2001, CFC-12 systems were banned completely in new vehicles. Beginning in 2009, refills of existing CFC-12 systems are restricted to recycled CFC-12 (FECO and MOFCOM 2007). Light duty passenger vehicles (equipped with air conditioning) in China are now predominantly equipped with HFC-134a MAC systems and Chinese regulators have not issued any requirements for a transition away from HFC-134a to other alternatives. Therefore, discussion of baseline options primarily focuses on HFC-134a MAC systems.

The MAC industry in China started in the early 1990s, which is later than most developed countries. MAC penetration was initially low but has rapidly increased over the past two-plus decades (Hu 2009). The availability of detailed data is limited, and a number of previous studies are based on assumptions and estimates rather than new data. The Japanese Automobile Manufacturers Association (JAMA 2009) estimated that 66% of all vehicles sold in China during 2007 to 2009 were equipped with MAC systems. ICCT (2012a) found this value to be a low estimate and instead proposed 83% for 2008 based on sales data provided by the China Association of Automobile Manufacturers (CAAM) in 2009. A report from Peking University and Ministry of Environmental Protection of China (MEPC) (2003) estimated that (on average) 71% of light-duty passenger vehicles were equipped with MAC systems between 1995 and 2002. A survey conducted in three Chinese cities from 2004 to 2008 indicated that over 95% of the more than 4,000 surveyed cars were equipped with MAC systems (SCEPA and THU 2013).

Table 2-1 presents the fractions of new vehicles equipped with MAC systems from 1995 to 2002 based on data developed by CATARC (2014) and Hu et al. (2010). As China completed the phase-out of CFC-12 over this time, the percentage of MAC equipped vehicles increased steadily. MAC systems have become standard on all new vehicles in developing countries, including both in China and India (Papasavva and

Andersen 2011). Su (2015) assumes that 100% of new cars sold in 2010 were equipped with air conditioning, consistent with the earlier studies.

Table 2-1. Penetration of MAC systems from 1995 to 2002 in China (CATARC, 2014 and Hu 2010).

	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
Total vehicles produced	145	147	158	163	183	207	234	325
Total vehicles produced with MAC	42	46	56	58	71	83	96	140
% MAC production, %	29	31	35	36	39	40	41	43
Production based on CFC-12	37	36	40	27	20	16	10	0
% production based on CFC-12, %	88	78	71	47	28	19	11	0
Production based on HFC-134a	5	10	16	31	51	67	87	140
% production based on HFC-134a, %	12	22	29	53	72	81	89	100

1. All production data are in tens of thousands.

2. Data include all new vehicles (i.e. cars, buses and trucks).

Assumptions

For this analysis, assumptions of MAC system penetration were made to represent the current refrigerant options (primarily HFC-134a systems and existing CFC-12 systems). Table 2-2 summarizes these assumptions, which were developed based on previous China-specific studies and surveys (MEPC 2003; Hu 2010; PKU 2013; Su 2015). Since a comprehensive dataset that covers all the vehicle classes over an extended period of time is not yet available, Table 2-2 puts forward the best available assumptions from existing data.

The penetration of MAC systems steadily increased from the 1990s and reached 100% in 2010. MEPC (2003) found that MAC penetration was about 71% of the vehicle fleet from 1995 to 2002. The share of the vehicle fleet with MAC before 2000 and of new vehicles with MAC sold in 2000 and 2001 were assumed to be 70%, 70% and 72%, respectively. Using the ICCT's (2012a) estimate (83% of the new sold vehicles in 2008 were equipped with MAC) as another anchor year, the remaining years between 2000 and 2010 were interpolated linearly.

Before 1994, there was only a small portion of vehicles (mostly imported) using HFC-134a based MAC systems. However, this share increased dramatically from 1995 to 2002 as CFC-12 was completely phased out. China has not issued any additional regulations towards the phase-out of HFC-134a and adoption of any substitute refrigerant. Therefore, light-duty vehicles sold from 2002 to 2015 are assumed to be solely HFC-134a-based. For 2000 and 2001, shares of the two refrigerants in total vehicles produced were adopted from Hu et al. (2010). We apply these shares to light-duty passenger vehicles assuming similar profiles across different vehicle classes. Shares of these two refrigerants for pre-2000 vehicle stocks were assumed based on the average profiles across 1995 to 2000 reported by Hu et al. (2010).

Table 2-2. Assumptions of share of light-duty vehicle sales with MAC systems (including stock before MY 2000) (Unit: %).

	< 2000	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	> 2010
share of light-duty vehicle sales with MAC systems												
	70	70	72	75	76.3	77.6	78.9	80.2	81.6	83	91.5	100
share of light-duty vehicle sales based on refrigerants												
CFC-12	60	19	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HFC-134a	40	81	89	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100

MAC charge size

An extensive literature review by ICCT (2012a) suggested that MAC charge size fell in the range of 0.4 to 1.2 kg per MAC system globally (with charge size generally varying directly with vehicle size and age). As presented in Table 2-3, charge sizes reported or used specifically for China-related studies range from 0.536 to 1.1 kg. Both global and China-specific data suggest the evolution over time towards smaller MAC systems due to technological development, including better system seals, improved fittings, and higher evaporator temperatures. This trend will continue into the future. For example, Hu et al. (2003) reported a decrease in charge size from 1.1 kg in 1990 to 0.67 kg in 2006. Estimates from other China-specific studies are generally consistent. Based on MEPC (2003), Hu et al. (2010) estimated initial refrigerant fills of HFC-134a of 1.0 kg. Later studies assume 0.8 kg per unit (PKU 2013 and Su 2015), based on assumptions from IPCC (2006) as a default value. Chen (2008) estimated that the average charge size for cars and light trucks decreased to 0.536 kg by 2006.

Table 2-3. Estimates of MAC system charge size in China.

Charge size, kg	Notes	Source
1.1	charge size in 1990	
0.9	charge size in 2000	Hu 2003 cited by Clodic 2010
0.67	charge size in 2006	
1.0	average charge size of cars; temporal range was not specified	MEPC 2003 cited by Hu 2010
0.8	default charge size of cars suggested by IPCC	IPCC 2006
0.536	charge size of cars since 2006	Liu and Chen 2008

The Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) in the US requires vehicle manufacturers to submit air conditioner leakage rate data to the MPCA, including model, leakage rate and charge size⁹. This requirement applies to new motor vehicles

⁹ The data is publicly available at: <https://www.pca.state.mn.us/quick-links/climate-change-mobile-air-conditioners#leakdata>

sold in Minnesota on or after January 1, 2009. The leakage rates reported by these automakers also suggested a decreasing trend in the charge size. For passenger cars the average charge size (not sales weighted) dropped from 632 g in model year 2009 to 578 in model year 2016.

Assumptions

Most of the available data for China was based on researchers' assumptions or modeled estimates instead of primary surveys and production reports. A recommended value by IPCC (2006) was commonly accepted by many of these studies. This study assumes a MAC charge size of 800g prior to model year 2009 and 550g for 2009 or later model years. These are built from MPCA data and reconciled with estimates from China. Although the charge size is expected to be less dependent on regions and more associated with technology development, data obtained from actual measurements are desired to strengthen the assumptions made in this study. Furthermore, given data scarcity, it is important that Chinese regulators collect additional information by conducting surveys or obtaining production reports from industrial sources in China to validate the assumptions and minimize uncertainties.

Refrigerant emissions

Initial emissions

Several China-specific studies took into consideration emissions at initial system charging. Such emissions could be up to 0.5% of the MAC charge size (Hu 2010; PKU 2013; Su 2015). Since these initial emissions are associated directly with financial losses to vehicle manufacturers, there exists a direct financial incentive for manufacturers to minimize such losses. Few sources have provided supporting evidence of the magnitude of emissions associated with initial charging and, therefore, the validity of assumptions is still to be investigated. This study takes the view that this portion of emissions is not directly associated with vehicle operation. Therefore, we exclude such emissions from our initial analysis, recognizing also that 0.5% of a 500 gram system equates to an uncertainty of only 2.5 grams per vehicle.

Regular emissions

Regular operational emissions occur continuously over time. These emissions are a consequence of leaks from high-pressure MAC systems and the degradation of components such as seals and fittings during normal operation. Estimates of regular operational emissions are highly variable. An extensive investigation of studies conducted in multiple regions of the globe by ICCT (2012a) indicated that annual losses for HFC-134a based MAC systems ranged from 7 to 30% (of system charge size) per year averaged over a vehicle lifetime. Developing countries tend to show higher leakage rates due to insufficient maintenance. An investigation by Chen (2008) estimated that

the typical regular operational emission rate in China ranges from 50 to 150 g/yr (6.25 to 18.75%/yr for a 0.8 kg system). Su (2015) assumes an average emission rate of 6.25%/yr.

Measurements of operational emissions in China are rare. Note that this is also an issue in other regions simply due to the difficulty associated with undertaking surveys. Because we expect operational emissions to decline as technology improves (e.g. better sealing and fittings), it is important to develop a profile of the expected temporal variation of operational emissions in China. However, because of the lack of publicly available data (both historical and current), determining the temporal profile is very challenging.

The United States Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA) and California Air Resources Board (CARB) have conducted a series of studies aiming to quantitatively understand the various types of emissions (Vincent 2004a,b; US EPA 2010a). These US studies have, for example, estimated not only the initial emission rates associated with new MAC systems, but also the change in emission rates over time as systems age. Due to the more robust nature of the US assessments and higher associated confidence levels, refrigerant emission estimates for this analysis are primarily built upon the methods and results from US studies as well as detailed emission data from MPCA, but also incorporate some changes in parameters in order to better reflect common practices in China (for example, the disposal of MAC units for the end of life vehicles may be different from that performed in US). The methodology is discussed in more detail in the following section (See “Methodology to construct emission rate estimates and assumptions”).

Service emissions

Service emissions occur when the system requires maintenance, repair or refill. Here we include so-called “Irregular emissions” under this category. Irregular emissions occur during improper vehicle servicing or during a vehicle accident that damages the system and allows a partial or complete escape of the refrigerant. Such emissions can vary considerably depending on the specific service practices employed. Service done by MAC owners may be associated with more emissions due to a lack of expertise and absence of proper recycling capabilities, as compared to similar service performed by professional technicians. As a result, service emissions are highly variable by global geographic region. Relative to developed countries, we expect vehicle owners in developing countries will perform a greater proportion of service activity than professional technicians. Service emission rates are thus expected to be higher in developing countries.

Robust service emission rate estimates are not yet available in China. Su (2015) assumed a service rate of 30%, presumably on an annual basis (240 g/yr for a 0.8 kg system). This estimate is significantly higher than corresponding data for other regions. For example, the US EPA estimates the average lifetime emissions attributable to service events at 8.8%, or 68 g/yr (US EPA and NHTSA 2012) and Henne et al. (2012) assumed a yearly loss caused by servicing events to range from 2.92 g/yr to 29.2 g/yr.

End-of-life emissions

End-of-life emissions are emissions associated with the scrappage and disposal of a vehicle equipped with air conditioning, and will primarily depend on two effects: the amount of refrigerant remaining in the MAC system at the time of disposal and the refrigerant recovery practices (if any) employed during disposal. It is commonly assumed that less than 50% of the system charge size remains in the MAC system at the time of disposal due to pre-disposal operational losses (ICCT 2012a). However, there is considerable variability in the end-of-life emission rates across studies in different geographic regions. Assuming an initial charge size of 0.8 kg, estimates of remaining refrigerant at the end of vehicle life vary from 20% to 60% (Henne 2012; Oko-Recherche 2011; DECC 2011; Vincent 2004a). Most studies for China have adopted the IPCC default (40%) (IPCC 2006) value or calculated the remaining charge based on the operational emissions rate. For example, Hu et al. (2010) and PKU (2013) assumed 40% of the initial charge remaining based on the IPCC default value, whereas Su (2015) calculated this value by taking into account an operational emissions rate that results in 25% of the initial charge remaining. China specific data on the remaining amount of refrigerant is unavailable.

End-of-life recovery efficiency is generally considered to be higher in developed countries compared to developing countries because of better technologies and compliance. However, the disposal of refrigerant is difficult to monitor and enforce. In addition, treatment of contaminated refrigerant for reuse purposes and destruction of the refrigerant from the end-of-life (EOL) vehicles are usually costly (ICCT 2012a). As a result, even in some developed countries the overall recovery efficiency is relatively low. For example, Vincent (2004b) estimated that only 50% of the remaining charge was recovered in California.

China has adopted regulations on reducing the emissions of CFC-12 from end-life vehicles by distributing CFC-12 recovery devices to designated car scrappage companies and setting up storage stations for recycled CFC-12 (FECO, 2007). However, a survey conducted in Shandong Province by Shandong Circular Economy Promotion Association (SCEPA) and Tsinghua University (2013) found that on average only 10% of the refrigerant (CFC-12) remaining in the MAC system is collected and recycled. The survey found that owners removed most MAC units before they were sent to scrappage facilities. In those remaining vehicles with MAC units, this survey found that only 20~30% of them still contained refrigerant. For HFC-134a, there currently is no regulatory requirement for recovery in China (PKU 2013). This study assumes that end-of-life recovery efficiency is 0%, which means that all remaining refrigerant is released to the environment (Hu 2010; PKU 2013; Su 2015).

Methodology to construct emission rate estimates and assumptions

While studies and publications specifically related to the Chinese passenger vehicle fleet are indicative, considerable data gaps remain (see Annex C). Due to these limitations, this study constructs emission estimates using data inputs generated from systematic studies by government agencies in the US. These refrigerant-specific inputs supplement China-specific fleet data already contained in the ICCT Refrigerant Inventory Model.

Regular refrigerant operational leakage occurs through all components of the MAC system, such as hoses, fittings, compressors, etc. which wear with age and exposure to harsh environmental conditions. Therefore, U.S. EPA assumes a linearly increasing emission rate for regular MAC emissions (US EPA 2010), such that the regular operational emission rate for new vehicles is 18 g/yr at age zero, but averages 59 g/yr over the MAC system lifetime (average emission/new vehicle emission = 3.28). Emissions due to servicing and maintenance are modeled as 1.17 times regular operational emissions (based on the relationship between estimated regular and service emissions for new vehicles). Using these two relationships along with new vehicle emission rates reported to MCPA by vehicle manufacturers, average regular operational and servicing emission rates are estimated for MY 2009 through 2016. This study assumes that vehicles of model year prior to 2009 emit on average 80 g/yr due to regular operational leakage based on test results from Vincent (2003; 2004a; 2004b). For model years after 2016, this study assumes that emission rates will not change.

It is reasonable to assume that only one service event occurs during the entire vehicle lifetime since after 9 years owners are less likely to continue servicing the MAC systems (MACS 2008). The service events typically occur after the first 6 or 7 years of the vehicles' lifetime (Vincent 2004a,b). This study assumes that on average the service event occurs in the 6th year.

This study assumes that only 50% of end-of-life vehicles still have MAC units, based on a survey in China (SEPA and Tsinghua University, 2013). Units removed from vehicles were mostly sold or traded-in at service stores or auto dealers. Recovery from these units is assumed to be zero. Considering compliance rates, this study assumes that 50% of the refrigerant is recovered at scrappage stations. The amount of refrigerant that remains in the MAC system is calculated from the years between the assumed last service event and the modeled end-of-life.

Since model year 2012, vehicle manufacturers in the U.S. have been able to obtain additional credits towards greenhouse gas emission compliance by incorporating low-leak technologies to MAC systems. However, due to the lack of such incentives in China, it is likely that the reduction in emission rates is comparably less than in the U.S., especially in recent years. A “high emission” case in this study was created that assumes regular operational and servicing emissions will not be further reduced after 2011. A “low emission assumptions” case does assume reductions in operational and servicing emissions beyond 2011.

Limitations

MAC leakage in developing countries tends to be higher than in developed countries due to the relatively poor maintenance of equipment and inadequate enforcement of refrigerant recovery programs (ICCT 2012a). Emission estimates derived from the US and applied to China are very likely to result in an underestimation of the emissions in China. These estimates should be considered a lower bound on China fleet-wide emissions given the fact that China specific refrigerant emission data were mostly limited to best estimates from large-scale studies or surveys. Greater investment in China-specific mobile air conditioning research is necessary to avoid producing estimates that by their nature must be conservative.

Vehicle lifetime and activity

The IPCC (2006) estimates an average passenger vehicle lifetime of 12 years in China, which may be equal to the useful lifetime of MAC units. Several China-specific studies adopt this assumption (PKU 2013; Hu 2010; Su 2015). Other global studies that include China have adopted a lifetime of 15 years (ICCT 2012a; Gloel 2014). Based on the literature and data adjusted for this study, we assumed that MAC units have a useful lifetime of 12 years.

ICCT developed and maintains an emissions inventory model for China called the China Transportation Roadmap model (Shao 2015; Façanha 2012). This model was designed to help Chinese policy makers isolate trends and evaluate policy options for vehicle emissions control. The model uses socioeconomic forecasts in which population, gross domestic product (GDP), and fuel prices inform estimates of future transportation activity and mode share. The China Roadmap model takes data for key variables from Chinese governmental agencies, which are processed to provide vehicle distance traveled (VKT), vehicle sales, vehicle stock, and vehicle scrappage rates for years 2000 through 2050 (in five-year intervals). Exponential interpolation generates an annual time series for these parameters. Together with the refrigerant emission data described above, these activity data are used to generate estimates of refrigerant emissions under various scenarios.

Table 2-4 summarizes key assumptions for MAC in China following the methodology described in this section.

Table 2-4. Summary of assumptions for baseline (CFC-12 and HFC-134a) MAC systems

Pre-2000	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Post-2016
Share of light-duty vehicle sales with MAC systems (%)																		
70	70	72	75	76.3	77.6	78.9	80.2	81.6	83	91.5	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Share of light-duty vehicle sales, by refrigerant (%)																		
R12	60	19	11															0
R134a	40	81	89															100
MAC charge size (g)																		
				800														550
MAC useful lifetime (yr)																		
																		12
Low emission assumptions																		
Regular operational emission (g/vehicle/yr)																		
				80														49.5 47.9 48.2 47.5 41.6 43.3 41.3 38.0 38.0
Service emission (g/vehicle/yr)																		
				93.3														57.7 55.8 56.2 55.4 48.6 50.5 48.2 44.4 44.4
End-of-life emission (g/vehicle)																		
				240.0														189.8 197.2 195.7 198.6 225.2 217.8 226.7 241.4 241.4
High emission assumptions																		
Regular operational emission (g/vehicle/yr)																		
				80														49.5 47.9 48.2
Service emission (g/vehicle/yr)																		
				93.3														57.7 55.8 56.2
End-of-life emission (g/vehicle)																		
				240.0														189.8 197.2 195.7

Benefits of HFC-134a Phase-Out in China

This section provides an assessment of the climate benefits of an HFC-134a phase-out in the Chinese light-duty vehicle fleet based on scenarios developed from international best practices.

Both EU and US regulations are performance based, meaning they set a performance target that allows automobile manufacturers to determine which alternative refrigerant to use. These alternatives must meet specifications given in the EU MAC rule and the US LD GHG standards. In the United States they must also fall on the list of chemicals approved under the US EPA Significant New Alternatives Program (SNAP), which reviews the flammability, toxicity, and environmental damage of all alternative refrigerants to ensure they are no more harmful than HFC-134a. Refrigerants that have approval under the US EPA SNAP and meet US LD GHG specifications include: HFO-1234yf, HFC-152a and R-744. All three are climate-friendly, with zero ozone depleting effects and significantly reduced global warming impacts. Each of these have been recognized as low-GWP refrigerants by the European Commission as well.

Since the US and EU markets account for about 40 percent of global light-duty vehicle sales, automakers competing in both markets have a strong incentive to choose alternative refrigerants that are allowed in both of these regions. This incentive extends to China, which accounts for an additional 25 percent of the global automobile market. Under this assumption therefore, this study will assess the potential benefits in China of MAC systems using HFO-1234yf, HFC-152a, and R-744 (CO_2) refrigerants.

Modeling of GHG emissions for each alternative refrigerant was performed using the ICCT Refrigerant Inventory Model v3. Assumptions were developed for each alternative MAC system regarding charge size, regular operational emissions, servicing emissions and end-of-life emissions. Potential policy pathways for HFC-134a phase-out were explored to quantify the total mitigation potential of each alternative refrigerant against the baseline system.

Alternatives to HFC-134a

The three primary alternative refrigerants to HFC-134a have undergone a series of evaluations on their properties and performance when used in mobile air conditioning. This section describes the characteristics of these refrigerants.

R-1234yf (HFO-1234yf)

2,3,3,3-tetrafluoropropene (HFO-1234yf) is a hydrofluoro-olefin, an unsaturated HFC. The fourth assessment report of the IPCC (Forster 2007) recommended a GWP-100 value of 4, which is currently used in many regulatory documents (US EPA 2010b; US EPA 2015). The more recent IPCC fifth assessment report revised this value downward to 1 or less, reflecting improved scientific understanding of its lifetime (Myrhe 2013).

The American Society of Heating, Refrigerating and Air-Conditioning Engineers (ASHRAE) designated HFO-1234yf as an A2L refrigerant, which indicates low toxicity under low or moderate concentrations and very low flammability. The generation of hydrofluoric acid (HF) during a vehicle fire has raised some concerns for suitability in MAC systems (BAM, 2010) and was a motivating factor for Daimler to delay its introduction of such systems in Europe. The US EPA has determined that the hazard of exposure to HF associated with HFO-1234yf is not greater than that of HFC-134a given proper precautions (US EPA 2015).

HFO-1234yf is nearly a “drop-in” substitute for HFC-134a due to the similarity of thermophysical properties (including vapor pressure) between the two refrigerants. An experimental assessment conducted by DuPont (DuPont 2009a) showed that HFO-1234yf displays a slightly lower but very comparable cooling capacity and coefficient of performance (COP) relative to HFC-134a under working conditions. Minor modifications to current MAC systems are needed to promote similar performance (DuPont 2009b).

Currently Honeywell holds the patent on the application and use of HFO-1234yf as a MAC refrigerant. In 2010, Honeywell and DuPont made arrangements for joint development, licensing and production (Honeywell 2016). Other manufacturers are required to purchase a license to use HFO-1234yf in the refrigeration market.

HFO-1234yf is being used in cars on the road today in the United States and in Europe. A 2014 survey conducted by the Alliance of Automobile Manufacturers (AAM) and the Association of Global Automakers found that automobile manufacturers plan to transition 90% of light-duty vehicle models sold in the US to HFO-1234yf by or before MY 2021 (U.S. EPA, 2015).

R-744 (carbon dioxide)

Carbon dioxide, designated by ASHRAE as R-744 when used as a refrigerant, is a colorless and odorless gas under atmospheric conditions. Carbon dioxide has, by definition, a GWP of 1. The US EPA approved the use of R-744 as a substitute for HFC-134a in MAC systems in 1994 and later proposed further conditions to R-744 systems to minimize passenger exposure in cases of leakage (US EPA 2016). Carbon dioxide is an A1 refrigerant, which is associated with no flammability and minimal toxicity.

R-744 MAC systems normally operate at pressures 5 to 10 times higher than HFC-134a systems. Therefore, improvements to the MAC system to sustain higher pressures are needed, including modifications to the compressor, hoses and other components (Minjares 2011). As safeguard measures, carbon dioxide sensors in the cabin may need to be installed to activate ventilation systems in case of refrigerant leakage. In addition, a secondary loop system that isolates carbon dioxide in the engine compartment is another commonly proposed design. Under a secondary loop configuration, carbon dioxide cools a mixture of water and glycol, which directly cools the passenger cabin.

Evaluations of the relative efficiency of R-744 systems have been mixed. Some studies of R-744 systems have shown less efficient performance at high ambient temperature compared with HFC-134a systems. All studies to-date have been conducted on demonstration systems, so the real-world performance of commercially available systems has yet to be demonstrated. With the deployment of

commercially available R-744 systems in model year 2017 E-class and S-class Mercedes-Benz vehicles¹⁰, real-world testing of R-744 systems will be able to compare against previous demonstration models. R-744 systems are already widely used in urban bus fleets in Europe and in retail refrigeration systems.

R-152a (HFC-152a)

1,1-difluoroethane (HFC-152a) is a colorless gas under atmospheric conditions. Its GWP-100 value is 138 (167 with climate-carbon feedback), which is greater than corresponding values for HFO-1234yf and R-744 but still much lower than that of HFC-134a. The US EPA approved the use of HFC-152a in the SNAP program as an alternative refrigerant with special use conditions to address the associated safety issues.

HFC-152a is designated as an A2 refrigerant with minimal toxicity and moderate flammability. To address the safety considerations associated with this mild flammability, a secondary loop system is commonly proposed to avoid direct interaction between the unit and the passenger cabin.

In spite of R&D activities to commercialize HFC-152a in the MAC market, technical concerns related not only to its flammability and secondary loop system, but also its relatively higher warming potential, have served as barriers for most automakers (RTOC 2010). Safeguard measures needed for the manufacturing and servicing infrastructure (including proper training for personnel), might further add to the implementation costs of HFC-152a. In light of major global automakers preferring a single global refrigerant that can act as a drop-in replacement for HFC-134a, these companies have not expressed great interest in HFC-152a.

Data and Assumptions

System Charge Size

Because of the similarity in thermophysical properties between HFO-1234yf and HFC-134a, the charge size for HFO-1234yf will be comparable to that of the baseline system. RTOC (2010) estimated that R-744 systems would be 20-30% smaller than HFC-134a MAC systems. This study assumes a 30% smaller charge size. RTOC (2010) estimated HFC-152a systems to be 50~75% the size of HFC-134a systems. This study assumes a 45% reduction. The specific assumptions regarding system charge size are:

- HFC-134a (Baseline): 550 grams
- HFO-1234yf: 550 grams
- R-744: 385 grams
- HFC-152a: 300 grams

¹⁰ <http://media.daimler.com/deeplink?cci=2695000>

Because this study evaluates the phase-in of alternative refrigerants no earlier than 2016, and since system charge sizes are assumed to be constant from 2011 through 2050, no changes in assumed charge size for earlier MAC are made in this study.

Operational emissions

In general, the leakage rates of HFO-1234yf systems are similar to HFC-134a since HFO-1234yf is essentially a “drop-in” replacement for HFC-134a. A report produced by ICCT (2012a) indicated that higher leakage rates through seals and other connections may be expected for this new refrigerant, but high molecular weight and viscosity can lower permeation rates through system hoses. SAE standard J2727 (2012) suggests that the leakage rate is proportional to the molecular weight (MW) of the refrigerant, and inversely proportional to the dynamic viscosity (DV) of the refrigerant vapor (except for hose permeation). Researchers in France (Yu and Clodic 2007) found in a series of experimental tests that the operational leakage rate is proportional to the term of $(P_{\text{system}}^2 - P_{\text{ambient}}^2)$. The technical advisory committee to this study estimated based on these findings that the leakage rate for an HFO-1234yf system is 108% of an HFC-134a system (on an absolute weight basis). Thus, an operational emission rate that is 1.08 times of the baseline HFC-134a system is assumed.

In the absence of actual tests for HFC-152a systems, and based on the same methodology, this study assumes that the operational emissions from an HFC-152a system are 57% of the original HFC-134a system on an absolute weight basis. Thus, this study assumes the operational leakage of an HFC-152a system is 57% of an HFC-134a system.

For R-744 systems, leaks could occur under higher system operating pressure if not addressed through proper component design. This study assumes the annual operational emission rate is 20% higher (on a fraction of charge basis) than that of an HFC-134a system, which is consistent with an earlier study by ICCT (2012a).

Servicing emissions

Methodology similar to that used to determine the service emissions for baseline systems was adopted to estimate service emissions for alternative systems. Based on the current situation in China, no recovery at service events was assumed.

End-of-life emissions

Under the baseline HFC-134a scenario, this study assumes that only 50% of vehicles at end-of-life still have MAC units. Many vehicle owners will choose to remove these systems and resell them to service facilities before sending the vehicle for scrappage (SEPA and Tsinghua University, 2013). For those vehicles with intact MAC systems, this study assumes that only 50% of the remaining refrigerant is recycled. Even if recovery is required, this study assumes weak enforcement. For MAC systems removed before scrappage, this study assumes all remaining refrigerant was emitted. These same assumptions were employed for HFO-1234yf and HFC-152a. The assumption of poor recovery could potentially lead to an

overestimate of the end-of-life emission. For R-744 systems, no recovery was assumed according to ICCT (2012a). However, uncertainty may exist because of the lack of availability of public data.

The lifetime of alternative MAC systems are assumed to be identical to baseline systems, which is 12 years. Table 3-1 summarizes assumptions under high and low emission pathways.

Table 3-1. Summary of the assumptions of system refrigerant emissions*

	Operational emissions	Servicing emissions	End-of-life emissions
Low emission			
HFC-134a	38.0	44.4	241.4
HFO-1234yf	41.1	47.9	227.7
R-744	31.9	37.3	145.0
HFC-152a	21.7	25.3	127.5
High emission			
HFC-134a	48.2	56.2	195.7
HFO-1234yf	52.0	60.7	178.3
R-744	40.5	47.2	106.6
HFC-152a	27.5	32.0	101.4

*Units are g/vehicle/yr for operational and service emission and g/vehicle for end-of-life emission.

Phase-Down Scenarios

Multiple scenarios representing various policy pathways for the transition to alternative refrigerants were evaluated. As depicted in Figure 3-1, these scenarios include a range of timeframes associated with a transition between specific refrigerants. For each scenario, this study explored the effect if each alternative refrigerant were used to fully replace HFC-134a. Model year 2018 was considered the earliest start year for any auto manufacturer to realistically begin to phase-out HFC-134a. The modeling of various phase-out scenarios extends through model year 2050. GWP-100 values (listed below) used in this modeling were based on the IPCC fifth assessment report with consideration of climate-carbon feedback (Myrhe 2013). These GWP values provide a more representative picture of climate impacts of these refrigerants. Currently, EU and US regulate refrigerants based on outdated GWP-100 values from earlier IPCC assessment reports and without climate-carbon feedback.

GWP-100 values used in the benefit modeling:

- CFC-12: 11550
- HFC-134a: 1550
- HFC-152a: 167
- HFO-1234yf: 1
- R-744:1

The policy drivers for different HFC-134a phase-out scenarios proposed in this analysis range from the adoption of regulations similar to those issued in the EU or US, to timelines put forward in recently proposed amendments to regulate HFCs under the Montreal Protocol. The implementation timelines for these scenarios are represented in Figure 3-1. Linear phase-in of alternative refrigerants were assumed between any two years with designated targets. In a business-as-usual (BAU) scenario, China continues to allow the use of HFC-134a in MAC systems without any further regulation.




Figure 3-1. Overview of action years for scenarios proposed in this benefit analysis.

Scenario 1: European Union Approach

China starts to phase out HFC-134a immediately after the EU completes its transition to MAC systems containing refrigerants with GWP lower than 150 in 2017. The timeframe for the transition is 6 years, consistent with the timeframe that was required under the EU MAC directive.

Scenario 2: United States Approach

China starts to phase out HFC-134a immediately after the US completes a similar transition in 2021. A 6-year transition period was assumed.

Scenario 3: CFC-12 approach

China completes the transition from HFC-134a by following the same pace and length of action for the phase-out of CFC-12.

Scenario 4: 5-year phase-out beginning in 2018

China adopts MAC regulations in 2018 and phases-out HFC-134a in all new vehicles within 5 years. Modification to any existing vehicular system to accommodate regulatory requirements takes 5 years based on the standard development cycle for new vehicle models. This scenario represents a case where

China chooses to phase-out HFCs in 2016 and allows automakers two years to prepare for this phase-out.

Scenario 5: HFC Amendment to Montreal Protocol

Phase-out of HFC-134a follows timelines for Article 5 countries, as suggested by multiple recent proposals to amend the Montreal Protocol. Thus far, five proposals to amend the Montreal Protocol have been released, with four of them containing specific timelines and targets to reduce the production and consumption of HFCs. The main purpose of these scenarios is to demonstrate how a transition to low-GWP MAC systems can help China towards compliance with any future Montreal Protocol Amendment.

Table 3-2 compares the 2015 Montreal Protocol amendment proposals. For scenarios 5a through 5c, we assume that the HFC-134a in the MAC sector will be phased out first to meet these new obligations. Therefore, it is assumed that China will phase out HFC-134a in the MAC sector within the first five years of the suggested control periods, based on a standard 5-year cycle for new vehicle design.

Scenarios 5a and 5b were based on the proposals from North America and India, respectively. Scenario 5c is consistent with the proposals from the EU and Island States.

Table 3-2. Comparison of the 2015 Montreal Protocol Amendment proposals for Article 5 countries

	North America	India	EU	Island States
Grace period*	2 years	15 years	none	3 years
Control period & length	2021 -2046 25 years	2031 – 2050 19 years	2019 – 2040 20 years	2019 – 2040 20 years
Control Measures for HFC production and consumption	2021 – 100% 2026 – 80% 2032 – 40% 2046 – 15%	2031 – 100% 2050 – 15%	2019 – 100% 2040 -15%	2020 – 85% 2025 – 65% 2030 – 45% 2040 – 10%

*Grace period beyond non-Article 5 countries control period

Results

The per-vehicle reduction in lifetime CO₂ equivalent emissions (including operational, servicing and end-of-life emissions) relative to an HFC-134a system is displayed in Figure 3-2. All three alternatives are capable of reducing per-vehicle GHG emissions by more than 90%, with HFO-1234yf and R-744 systems achieving greater than 99% of reduction. The lower GWP values of these alternatives, which are about 1/10 to 1/1000 of that of HFC-134a, are the drivers of these reductions. The results are very similar under a “high emissions” case (see Annex A).






Figure 3-2. Reduction of greenhouse gas emissions relative to a HFC-134a MAC system under low emission assumption

Figure 3-3 shows refrigerant emissions under a “low emission” (LE) case for BAU and alternative scenarios from 2000 to 2050. Results under a “high emissions” (HE) case are presented in Annex A Figure A1. Without any control strategies, total refrigerant CO₂ equivalent emissions are expected to reach 80 (LE) to 95 (HE) trillion grams (Tg) per year, which is about 4 times emissions levels in 2015. Baseline emissions ramp up quickly after 2010 due to the rapid growth of the auto industry and penetration of MAC systems in China.

Figure 3-4 compares the cumulative refrigerant (CO₂ equivalent) emission reductions achieved through 2050 under LE. Both HFO-1234yf and R-744 are able to achieve a comparable amount of reductions. The cumulative reduction achievable using HFC-152a is lower than for the other two alternatives because of its higher GWP. This reduction reaches approximately 1500 Tg (1800 Tg under HE) by 2050 using HFO-1234yf and R-744 under scenarios featuring relatively aggressive strategies (Scenario #1, #3 and #4), corresponding to more than 70% reduction relative to cumulative emissions under the business-as-usual (BAU) scenario.






Figure 3-3. Time series of total refrigerant (CO₂ equivalent) emissions for (a) HFO-1234yf, (b) R-744 and (c) HFC-152a by scenarios (with low emission assumptions)

Assuming China follows the timelines proposed by India for a Montreal Protocol Amendment, cumulative reductions through 2050 are expected to be approximately 50 percent of the other phase-out scenarios. Cumulative emissions reductions relative to the baseline scenario were less than 40% for all three alternative refrigerants, which is the lowest reduction among all the scenarios. Scenario 4, which assumes an implementation year of 2018 with complete phase-out over 5 years, results in the highest level of GHG emission reductions by 2050 and earliest phase-out HFC-134a. Modeling of the “high emissions” case suggest very similar results (see Annex A).




Figure 3-4. Cumulative reduction of refrigerant emissions (CO₂ equivalent) by 2050 under all scenarios with low emission assumptions

This analysis demonstrates that the penetration of alternative refrigerants could significantly reduce GHG emissions, but the pace and timing of transition strategies play key roles in the reductions achieved by 2050. Scenarios 5a through 5c, which feature an extended transition period, achieve the least reduction by 2050. The most aggressive transition policies phase-out HFC-134a in new vehicles over a 5-year period, begin this phase-out in 2018, and completely phase out HFC-134a emissions from all vehicles by 2035 or 2040.

Social benefit of reduced GHG emissions

In this study, global social cost of carbon (SCC) equivalent is applied to monetize the climate benefits of alternative refrigerants. SCC is used by many agencies such as the US EPA to estimate the economic damages associated with an increase of CO₂ emissions. This also quantifies the climate benefits of new rulemakings that directly or indirectly affect greenhouse gas emissions. The SCC is a comprehensive estimate of climate damages and includes changes in agricultural productivity, human health, and property damages from increased flood risks, among other economic damages. The SCC also incorporates change in energy consumption, for example, increased use of air conditioning and reduced use of heating due to global warming. US EPA, collaborating with an interagency working group comprised of experts from the White House and other federal agencies, assessed climate models, economic models and the interactions between the two to model the SCC. More technical details are included in a technical support document (US Government 2015).

Economic models estimate the cost of climate damage over time. Those estimates are discounted using three different discount rates (2.5%, 3% and 5%). Average costs are then calculated for each discount rate. Additionally, the 95th percentile cost at a 3% discount is used to characterize the general uncertainty of the cost estimation process.

The SCC according to these four discount rates in each year from 2015 to 2050 were used for this analysis. Costs expressed in 2007 dollars were converted to 2015 dollars using a GDP price inflator of 1.14 derived from Gross Domestic Product price index data produced by US Bureau of Economic Analysis. A factor of 6.5 was applied to convert US dollars to Chinese Yuan (CNY). SCC used in this analysis are tabulated in Table 3-3.

Table 3-3. Social cost of CO₂ (¥/t CO₂)

Year	2016	2020	2025	2030	2035	2040	2045	2050
5% avg	82	89	104	119	133	156	170	193
3% avg	282	311	341	371	408	445	474	511
2.5% avg	422	459	504	541	578	622	659	704
3% 95-Percentile	800	911	1023	1126	1245	1356	1438	1571

Figure 3-5 shows the total SCC avoided through year 2050 relative to a BAU scenario. The range of avoided SCC using each SCC discount rate is captured for each refrigerant and scenario. All avoided SCC are expressed in 2016 present dollars (NPV).

Phasing out HFC-134a under scenario #4 leads to the greatest climate benefit with up to more than 1000 billion CNY (nearly 1200 billion under a “high emission” case) avoided compared to the BAU scenario. A delayed action (e.g. described by Scenario #5b) of transition is likely to result in additional social cost up to 557 billion dollars relative to Scenario #4. This additional cost will be even greater under a high emission trajectory, reaching approximately 654 billion (Figure A6). In general, the inter-refrigerant variability is less than the inter-scenario variability in the social cost avoided, which reinforces that the timing of transition plays a central role in the achievable climate benefits and total social cost avoided.




Figure 3-5. Total avoided global social cost till 2050 induced by transitioning in China to alternative mobile air conditioning refrigerants under conservative emission assumptions¹¹. The lower end of the bar is the 5% average SCC estimate, the lowest white line the 3% average SCC estimate, the upper white line the 2.5% average SCC estimate, and the upper end of the bar the 3% 95th percentile SCC estimate.

Potential uncertainties with the analysis may include:

- The models used to develop social cost estimates do not cover all the important physical, ecological and economic impacts of climate change due to the lack of precise information (US Government 2015).
- Emission rate estimates, even under a “high emission” case, may still underestimate emissions of the Chinese fleet. However, a deeper understanding of such information would be facilitated by more comprehensive and systematic studies on the MAC sector in China.
- The cost prediction for future years is primarily based on learning curves, which do not capture other potential sources of cost savings.

¹¹ Total avoided global social cost through 2050 induced by transitioning to alternative refrigerants under high emission assumption is shown in Annex A

Implications for Montreal Protocol Amendments

Scenarios 5a through 5c were based on 2015 proposals put forward by parties to the Montreal Protocol in recent discussions around an HFC amendment. Any one of these would require reductions in the consumption and production of HFCs across the economies of signatories to the Montreal Protocol. HFCs in the MAC sector account for about 24% of CO₂-equivalent HFCs consumed globally (US EPA 2010c). The contribution may be even greater in China, accounting for about 33% of total HFC consumption (Hu 2013). With large reduction potential, HFCs in the MAC sector could be the low-hanging fruit for China to mitigate greenhouse gas emissions.

What effect does an HFC-134a phase-out in the MAC sector have on obligations under an amended Montreal Protocol to phase-down consumption of HFCs? The two major contributions to the consumption of HFCs in MACs are initial charge to the system and refill at the service. Based on ICCT estimates, 6-year old vehicles account for approximately 10% of the vehicle stock. Assuming a service refill every six years per vehicle, on average 10% of the vehicle stock needs a refill each year. Based on this amount of HFC consumption in the legacy fleet, Table 3-4 summarizes the reduction in HFC consumption toward compliance with interim phase-down targets under each scenario taken from Montreal Protocol proposals. These reflect changes at either the first interim target given under each proposal, or the end of the MAC transition period compared to the year before the transition. Note that the proposals from individual parties/groups included both HFCs and HCFCs in the target. Given the small proportion of HCFCs compared to HFCs in the total consumption, this analysis makes a comparison solely on HFCs.

Table 3-4. Progress Towards HFC consumption phase-down targets by transitioning to low-GWP refrigerants in the China MAC sector

	Scenario 5a	Scenario 5b	Scenario 5c
Baseline year	2021	2031	2019
Interim target	- 20%	Not applicable	- 24%
HFO-1234yf, %	- 10%	- 14%	- 13%
HFC-152a, %	- 10%	- 13%	- 12%
R-744, %	- 11%	- 14%	- 13%

1. The proposal from the Island States requires a reduction of HFCs before the start of the control period. For demonstration purpose, 2019 was selected as the baseline year, such was suggested by the EU proposal.
2. India proposal did not specify any interim targets.

Costs of HFC-134a Phase-Out in China

Low-GWP refrigerants could result in additional cost compared to HFC-134a systems. This includes not only the cost of the refrigerant itself, but also changes to hardware necessary to accommodate different refrigerants.

In this analysis, the cost of transition to alternative MAC systems was broken down into two categories: one-time cost incurred by manufacturers, and ownership cost incurred by consumers. Cost induced by facility upgrade at service stations are considered to be fully passed on to consumers and will be reflected in labor rates. Disposal cost was assumed to be negligible and was not calculated.

Data and Assumptions

One-time costs incurred by manufacturers

One-time costs incurred by manufacturers include both initial manufacturing cost and cost of the initial refrigerant charge.

HFC-134a systems

Oko-Recherche (2011) estimated that the cost to manufacture and initially charge an HFC-134a MAC system is ¥1184 - ¥1258 (€160 - €170). The bulk price for HFC-134a refrigerant fluctuates with market supply and demand and currently averages around ¥40/kg (about \$6/kg)¹² in China (China Industry Online 2016). Initial charge costs about ¥22 for a car with a 550g MAC system.

Based on this information, this study assumes that the up-front manufacturing cost of the unit and the initial refrigerant charge of an HFC-134a system is about ¥1235 (\$190).

Technology related to HFC-134a systems is relatively well developed, so very limited reduction in technology cost is expected. This study assumes that all costs associated with HFC-134a systems are unchanged in all future scenarios.

HFO-1234yf systems

Previous assessments of the incremental cost associated with transitioning to HFO-1234yf MAC systems are reasonably consistent. Fiat estimated an incremental cost of \$68 relative to a baseline HFC-134a system (Centro Recherche Fiat 2008). The USEPA estimated capital cost of an HFO-1234yf system at approximately \$66 for developing countries, including incremental refrigerant costs and hardware changes (US EPA 2013). GM produced a corresponding estimate of

¹² In this cost analysis, all US dollars are converted to 2015 USD unless otherwise noted. Conversion rates of 6.5 to 1 for CNY (Renminbi) to USD and 7.4 to 1 for CNY to Euro are used.

\$76 (RAC Magazine 2013). In the Technical Support Document for its 2017-2025 light-duty vehicle greenhouse gas emission standards, the USEPA estimated the retail level cost of HFO-1234 refrigerant (exclusive of required hardware changes) at \$120 per kg (2015\$, US EPA and NHTSA 2012). A similar analysis by the California Air Resources Board for their LEV III rulemaking utilized a corresponding retail level price of \$138 per kg (2015\$, ARB 2011). For a 550 gram system, these USEPA and CARB estimates equate to an HFO-1234yf refrigerant cost of \$66 and \$76 respectively.

Other less formal estimates of the price of HFO-1234yf refrigerant cover a substantial range. Consultation with a confidential US industrial source indicates an expected price range of \$110-\$130 per kg for auto service providers. Prices for automotive system manufacturers would be correspondingly lower. Conversely, confidential industrial sources in China indicate much higher price expectations, in the range of \$200-\$300 per kg.

Given that HFO-1234yf MAC systems are in current production, the refrigerant is available to both OEM and service facilities today. Unfortunately, current prices are influenced by relatively low volume production and supply constraints. Substantial new production capacity coming on line in the US, China, and India as well as continuing increases in demand are expected to reduce prices from current levels. Unfortunately, current OEM supply contracts are confidential. However, current aftermarket prices can be determined with accuracy. Mopar is selling HFO-1234yf under part number 68224028AA, priced at about \$8 per ounce (\$282 per kg).¹³ In contrast, GM sells the same product under part number 19260234, priced at about \$750 per 10 pound cylinder (\$165 per kg).¹⁴ Independent outlets exhibit similar price ranges. For example, O'Reilly Auto Parts currently retails HFO-1234yf priced at \$1279 per 10 pound cylinder (\$282 per kg),¹⁵ while Refrigerant Depot sells the identical 10 pound cylinder for \$750 (\$165 per kg) and offers volume discounts.¹⁶ All suppliers are marketing either Dupont's OpteonYF or Honeywell's Solstice brand name products. Price differentials merely reflect the current sparsity of the HFO-1234yf market.

While it is not possible to foresee with clarity the near term future price of HFO-1234yf under a high volume scenario, we see no rational reason that such prices would be greater than those of currently available product. Thus, we estimate the current service sector price at \$165 per kg. We further assume that service sector prices reflect a 20 percent premium over OEM retail level prices, and thus derive an OEM retail price estimate of \$138 per kg, identical to the retail level price assumed by CARB in their LEV III analysis (updated to 2015\$). We consider these estimates to be quite conservative (on the high side) for the high volume production scenario that would occur under a committed industry transition away

¹³ See http://www.moparpartsoverstock.com/p/_/REFRIGERANT-R1234YF-1-Ounce-HA0--XFC--HAA-OR-HAF--XFC--HAB--XFC--HAD-OR-HAF--XFC--HAF--XFC/10245887/68224028AA.html. Many other sites offer similar availability and pricing.

¹⁴ See <http://www.gmpartsdirect.co/oe-gm/19260234>. Many other sites offer similar availability and pricing.

¹⁵ See <http://www.oreillyauto.com/site/c/detail/FRE1/1234YF/N1782.oap>.

¹⁶ See <http://www.refrigerantdepot.com/hfo-1234yf-699-per-cylinder-while-supplies-last-2/>. Current pricing confirmed via telephone on April 26, 2016.

from HFC-134a. We especially note that these estimates are 25-50 percent *higher* than those suggested by confidential industrial sources. We think it highly likely that we are overstating the future costs of HFO-134yf, but deem this appropriate given current pricing uncertainty in the face of ongoing patent deliberations. We have employed this same methodology to estimate costs for this analysis.

In the 2017 – 2025 light-duty passenger vehicle greenhouse gas emission standard, US EPA adopted a systematic approach to break down total cost to manufacturers of new technology into direct manufacturing cost (DMC) and indirect cost (IC). DMCs primarily account for the costs of the components of the unit while other costs such as facility-related cost, utilities and R&D are covered by indirect cost. The methodology is discussed in more detail in the technical support document to the EPA rule making (US EPA 2012). The costs described in the paragraphs that follow are primarily expressed in terms of DMC, but we apply indirect cost estimates to each to derive retail level cost estimates (as presented in summary Tables 4-1 through 4-4)

US EPA and NHTSA (2012) constructed a projection of future costs of HFO-1234yf systems through 2050, taking into account learning effects. In its technical support documents (U.S. EPA, 2010; U.S. EPA, 2012), U.S. EPA employed a methodology to predict cost variations during different stages of technology development. The methodology, referred as the “learning curve”, includes three portions:

1. steep portion: The learning effects are greatest in this portion of the curve and current cost relative to original cost declines rapidly. EPA is using an “every two-years” learning progression, assuming costs are reduced by 20 percent every two years.
2. flat portion: The learning effects are less pronounced in this portion of the curve. EPA assumes the costs are reduced at 3 percent per year for the first 5 years, 2 percent for another 5 years and 1 percent for the last 5 years.
3. No-learning portion: There is no more learning effect on costs occurring in this phase of the curve and the cost will remain the same throughout this stage.

The majority of research and development activities related to low-GWP MAC systems are performed by international manufacturers. Since all major international vehicle manufacturers are active in China, it is reasonable to assume costs will be no different for China than for any other country that currently has access to this technology (see below for discussion of economic competitiveness impacts on China). This study therefore adopts an incremental DMC for HFO-1234yf refrigerant charge of ¥397 (\$61) and ¥104 (\$16) for hardware modifications. These were adopted based on US EPA’s and CARB’s assumptions and methodologies.

US EPA (2012) considered HFO-1234yf to be on the steep portion of the learning curve since it is a newly developed chemical that is used in limited number of vehicles. Although DuPont and Honeywell own a patent for HFO-1234yf production , Honeywell has recently expanded its production via partnerships with local producers in China.

Following the EPA’s methodology described in the Joint Technical Support Documents for EPA’s 2012-2016 and 2017-2025 MY rules, this study assumes that refrigerant cost will decline by 20% every two years from 2016 MY though 2020 MY, 3% per year thereafter through 2026 MY, 2% per year through 2031 and 1% per

year through 2036, assuming it enters the flat portion of the learning curve. Starting from 2036, it is assumed that this technology reaches a “plateau” and has very limited potential of further cost reduction. Therefore, the cost will remain constant through 2050. HFO-1234yf has dropped by about 30% since 2011, according to a knowledgeable industrial source, which is consistent with estimates based on the learning curve.

In contrast to refrigerant cost, the cost of hardware modification is not entirely new and considered to be in the flat portion of the learning curve. Therefore, it is assumed that this cost will be reduced by 2% each year through 2021, 1% each year through 2026 and will remain constant through 2050

HFC-152a systems

The R&D and penetration of HFC-152a as an alternative MAC refrigerant is limited compared to the other alternative refrigerants. A previous study estimated the incremental cost of such a system relative to an HFC-134a system at approximately ¥143 (\$22) for direct expansion systems and ¥429 (\$66) for secondary loop systems (GM 2003). The cost of an HFC-152a system is estimated to be less than an HFO-1234yf system, according to an industrial source consulted by ICF International (2012). The bulk price for this refrigerant is approximately ¥40/kg (\$6/kg) in China (China Industry Online 2016). For a full system charge based on a 300g charge size, ¥13 (\$2) is needed for the refrigerant.

For this analysis, it is assumed that the incremental DMC for an HFC-152a system hardware is ¥358 (\$55), based on a secondary loop design. The initial charge will cost approximately ¥13 (\$2). Indirect costs are calculated following the same method described above. The technology of a secondary loop system is not entirely new so it is assumed to be in the flat portion of the learning curve. Similarly to an HFO-1234yf system, the cost will decline by 3% each year through 2021, 2% each year through 2026, 1% each year through 2031 and finally stay at a flat rate through 2050. The cost of the refrigerant is assumed to remain the same because HFC-152a has been fully commercialized for over a decade

R-744 Systems

The hardware modification to accommodate R-744 is considered to be relatively costly at present due to limited commercialization. GM (2008) estimated the incremental cost of an R-744 system to be ¥2275 (\$350). US EPA and NHTSA (2012) estimated that accommodating R-744 will require additional ¥910(\$140) to ¥1365 (\$210). The cost of the refrigerant R-744 is considerably less compared to the other alternative refrigerants and the cost are primarily associated with storage and transportation. It is reported by a global study that this cost ranges from approximately ¥6.5/kg (\$1/kg) to ¥32.5 (\$5/kg) (Oko-Recherche 2011).

For this analysis the DMC for hardware modification is assumed to be ¥1300 (\$200) and the DMC for refrigerant cost is assumed to be ¥6.5/kg (\$1/kg) or ¥2.6/kg (\$0.4/kg) for a full charge. Since R-744 systems are still emerging, it is reasonable to assume the technology to be in the steep portion of the learning curve for the first few years. The same learning curve applied to HFO-1234yf systems is used. It is assumed that the refrigerant cost will remain at the current level through the end of 2050 due to its relative availability. Indirect costs are estimated following the same methodology described above.

Recurring costs incurred by consumers

Recurring costs include the cost of refrigerant filled at service events and resulting labor costs. Based on assumptions used in the previous sections, MAC systems will be serviced once in their useful lifetime.

HFC-134a systems

A survey for the Chinese auto service market estimated that one refill at service costs about ¥35 (\$5) for refrigerant. One standard service job that includes system recharge takes about one hour. Based on ¥60 (~\$10/hr) for the labor rate, cost incurred by consumers for an HFC-134a system during its lifetime is estimated to be around ¥100 (~\$15) (Si and Zhang 2012). Since the market for HFC-134a is fully developed, it is assumed that the cost will remain the same in the future years. The labor rates may vary with a battery of factors such as GDP, inflation and economy. Therefore for simplicity in this analysis the labor rate is assumed to remain the same through 2050.

HFO-1234yf systems

As a conservative estimate, we assume that service center cost of refrigerant is 1.2 times bulk cost to OEMs with the same learning effects incorporated into price change over time. Due to similarities between the HFO-1234yf system and the baseline HFC-134a system, average time spent at servicing is assumed to be the same.

HFC-152a systems

The refrigerant cost at service stations is assumed to be 1.2 times the bulk cost for OEMs, which is equal to ¥19. Labor cost is identical to the baseline system, which remains at ¥60 (\$10)/service job.

R-744 systems

The refrigerant cost at service stations is assumed to be 1.2 times the bulk cost for OEMs, which is equal to ¥9. Labor cost is identical to the baseline system, which remains at ¥60 (\$10)/service job.

Table 4-1. Summary of cost estimates for baseline HFC-134a systems

	2016	2020	2025	2030	2035	2040	2045	2050
One-time cost incurred by manufacturers, ¥								
	1235	1235	1235	1235	1235	1235	1235	1235
Recurring cost incurred by consumers, ¥								
Number of service jobs								
	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Refill cost, ¥/service job								
	35	35	35	35	35	35	35	35
Labor cost, ¥/service job								
	60	60	60	60	60	60	60	60
Total cost, ¥								
	1330	1330	1330	1330	1330	1330	1330	1330

Table 4-2. Summary of cost estimates for alternative HFO-1234yf systems

	2016	2020	2025	2030	2035	2040	2045	2050
One-time cost incurred by manufacturers, ¥								
	1860	1702	1648	1623	1611	1609	1609	1609
Recurring cost incurred by consumers, ¥								
Number of service jobs								
	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Refill cost, ¥/service job								
	592	418	361	332	318	315	315	315
Labor cost, ¥/service job								
	60	60	60	60	60	60	60	60
Total cost, ¥								
	2253	2047	1972	1937	1926	1924	1924	1924

Table 4-3 Summary of cost estimates for alternative HFC-152a systems

	2016	2020	2025	2030	2035	2040	2045	2050
One-time cost incurred by manufacturers, ¥								
	1695	1653	1602	1585	1583	1583	1583	1583
Recurring cost incurred by consumers, ¥								
Number of service jobs								
	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Refill cost, ¥/service job								
	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19
Labor cost, ¥/service job								
	60	60	60	60	60	60	60	60
Total cost, ¥								
	1760	1719	1668	1651	1648	1648	1648	1648

Table 4-4 Summary of cost estimates for alternative R-744 systems

	2016	2020	2025	2030	2035	2040	2045	2050
One-time cost incurred by manufacturers, ¥								
	2857	2384	2226	2148	2109	2103	2103	2103
Recurring cost incurred by consumers								
Number of service jobs								
	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Refill cost, ¥/service job								
	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9
Labor cost, ¥/service job								
	60	60	60	60	60	60	60	60
Total cost, ¥	2915	2441	2284	2206	2167	2161	2161	2161

Incremental costs of HFC-134a phase-out

Based on the total cost for each alternative MAC system summarized in Tables 4-2 through 4-4, the R-744 based systems display the highest per unit incremental cost followed by HFO-1234yf systems. HFC-152a systems display the least incremental cost among the three refrigerant systems.

The incremental cost to reduce each CO₂ equivalent ton per vehicle is shown in Figure 4-1. The cost effectiveness of HFO-1234yf declines from ¥484/mt CO₂ equivalent in 2016 to ¥312/mt CO₂ in 2050 while the cost effectiveness for R-744 systems declines from ¥832/mt CO₂ to ¥436/mt CO₂ in 2050. In comparison, the cost effectiveness of HFC-152a systems shows relatively small change.




Figure 4-1. Cost effectiveness of alternative MAC systems under low emission assumption. Cost incurred during the MAC useful lifetime were discounted back to the first year using discount rate of 3%¹⁷.

For comparison, the European Union is currently enforcing regulations on excess CO₂ emissions from each manufacturer's fleet, which will be more stringent starting in 2019. The EU imposes a penalty on vehicle manufacturers that fail to meet CO₂ standards. That penalty equals €95 for each gram CO₂ per kilometer above the standard. While not indicative of the cost of all complying technologies, this penalty does provide a convenient benchmark against which to judge the relative costs of alternative MAC systems as cost can be directly compared to the cost level that the EU views as prohibitive (i.e., it's cheaper to pay the penalty than install technology that costs more than €95 per gram per kilometer. Using vehicle activity data retrieved from the ICCT Roadmap model, Figure 4-2 compares the cost effectiveness of each of the three alternative refrigerants evaluated in this study under low emission scenarios in 2016 and 2050 with the EU penalty rate for non-compliance with the vehicle CO₂ standard.

The higher price for HFO-1234yf refrigerant is the driving factor behind its cost. Meanwhile, hardware is a major contributor to total cost of R-744 systems. Because both refrigerants are on the steep portion of the learning curve, the cost for both systems are expected to decline rapidly over the coming years. For example, from 2016 to 2050 these costs should fall by about 38% for HFO-1234yf systems and 48% for R-744 systems. The low cost of HFC-152a systems can be explained by widely available production of the refrigerant and by broad access to technology necessary to implement a secondary loop design needed to implement this refrigerant system. Overall we expect the costs of all three alternative MAC systems to decline over the next few decades, resulting in smaller differences among the three systems.

¹⁷ Cost effectiveness of alternative MAC systems under a “high emission” case is shown in Annex A




Figure 4-2. Cost effectiveness of alternative MAC systems under a low emission assumption in the context of CO₂ emission penalties imposed on light-duty vehicles in the European Union. Costs incurred during MAC useful lifetime were discounted back to year 1 using a discount rate of 3%.¹⁸

With these costs being about 80% lower than the EU penalty rate of ¥693 (€95), low-GWP MAC systems are very cost effective among GHG reduction options in the transport system. Such systems are among the least expensive technologies available to reduce motor vehicle CO₂ emissions.

A discussion of uncertainties in the cost of alternative refrigerant systems and their effect on this analysis can be found in Annex B.

¹⁸ Cost effectiveness of alternative MAC systems under a “high emission” case is shown in Annex A

Impacts of HFC-134a Phase-Out on the Economic Competitiveness of the Chinese Auto Sector

Overview of the Automotive Market

In recognition of the mounting pressure to move away from HFC-134a, significant research and development activity has been, and continues to be, undertaken on this topic. This includes, for example, the development of HFO-1234yf production capacity in China as well as ongoing development discussions between refrigerant suppliers and Chinese automotive manufacturers. Thus, there is considerable activity already ongoing to provide fundamental support to any transition to low GWP refrigerant.

Figure 5-1 depicts the distribution of global light duty vehicle (passenger cars and light truck) sales across regional markets (ICCT 2015). As indicated, the combined US and EU markets that are currently in transition from high to low GWP refrigerant account for a bit over a third (36.2 percent) of current light duty sales. China itself accounts for another 24 percent of sales, so that these three regions together reflect about 60 percent of global sales. Due to growth expected in India between now and 2030, the combined China/US/EU sales share is expected to decline modestly to about 54 percent by 2030, but the three regions together will continue to dominate the global market for the foreseeable future. The four region China/US/EU/India sales share is expected to account for 70 percent of total global sales by 2030. Thus, while sales in other areas of the globe are significant and nonetheless important from an economic standpoint, China must, to be a globally competitive player in the automotive manufacturing market, continue to develop and maintain a presence in the US, EU, and India markets.




Figure 5-1. Global Light Duty Vehicle Sales Shares

The importance of such presence is amplified when one considers than many of the other regional markets look to either the US or EU for leadership when developing their own regional standards. Exclusive of China, over 85 percent of vehicle production in G-20 nations is subject to either EU or US-derived emission standard (Kodjak 2015). This means that over 75 percent of global production is subject to such standards, even if not a single non G-20 nation required EU or US derived compliance.¹⁹ Even this may be too limited a view. For example, both the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia and the United Arab Emirates have adopted, or are in the process of adopting, US light duty vehicle fuel economy standards; including their associated credit allowances for low GWP MAC systems. Many of the countries that currently rely on EU or US vehicle emission standards could easily extend that reliance to CO₂ efficiency and MAC system standards. Thus, the footprint of the US and EU regulatory programs expands well beyond their respective geopolitical borders, influencing markets and vehicle sales across the globe. The global expansion of US and EU regulatory influence is not expected to diminish over the foreseeable future, so global competitiveness dictates an ability to manufacture vehicles in compliance with the requirements of EU and US standards.

The domestic Chinese automotive market can be roughly split into two components: one composed of independent domestic manufacturers and one composed of domestic manufacturers that have aligned themselves with one or more extra-national manufacturers. These latter joint ventures represent the only way that extra-national manufacturers are allowed to compete in the domestic Chinese market and comprise a substantial fraction of the domestic market. For example, the top 18 automotive manufacturers capture about 80 percent of the domestic

¹⁹ Note that this includes countries, such as India, that adopt their own standards based on those of the EU or US. Additionally, not all such countries require compliance with the most recent EU or US standards. There is often a lag time between adoption of more stringent standards in the EU or US and corresponding adoption in a “referencing” country.

market, with joint ventures capturing about 70 percent of that share (ICCT 2012b). Since participation in a joint venture obligates extra-national manufacturers to share technology with their domestic partners, there is some concern that domestic manufacturers that do not participate in such ventures are at a competitive disadvantage relative to those domestic manufacturers that do. The sections that follow include an evaluation of the extent to which any transition to low GWP refrigerants could exacerbate such an advantage.

Globally-Optimum Industry Response

In the absence of confounding issues, the globally-optimum automotive industry response to efforts to reduce the GWP of MAC systems would be to simply select the most cost-effective low GWP refrigerant and transition the industry en masse toward universal replacement. MAC systems are essentially a commodity for manufacturers. Generally, vehicles either have a MAC system or they do not (and today, almost all vehicles are MAC equipped). While there are functional aspects of climate control systems, such as multi-zonal controls that are used as distinguishing marketing features, the basic functionality of the underlying refrigeration system is essentially common across manufacturers. Thus, from a standardization perspective, the use of a single common refrigerant minimizes not only equipment procurement and assembly line requirements, but also refrigerant production and aftermarket service and training requirements. Of course, there will be a need to retain an HFC-134a infrastructure throughout the phase-out period of existing systems, but the industry would optimize that phase-out by selecting a single replacement.

Unfortunately, there are confounding issues. Different manufacturers have different opinions on the optimum replacement refrigerant. Issues with regard to refrigerant safety and decomposition products are not fully resolved. Potential cost premiums associated with HFO-1234yf patent claims are of concern.²⁰ And, the differential cost of refrigerant-specific technology, both at installation and during in-use service life, is not known with certainty. Thus, manufacturers have not reached consensus. While the overwhelming majority of automotive manufacturers appear to be committed to an HFO-1234yf future, there are some that continue to pursue CO₂ systems as a preferred solution. While the exact number of HFO-1234yf systems in production is not known with certainty, it is clear that the number is significant. One researcher, for example, estimates that there were, as of December 2015, at least 30 manufacturers producing at least 83 models with HFO-1234yf systems for sale in the EU and at least 17 manufacturers producing at least 47 models with HFO-1234yf systems for sale in the US (Andersen 2015).²¹ These vehicles are

²⁰ Patent issues related to HFO-1234yf are currently being investigated in the EU, where the EU is conducting antitrust proceedings against the patent holders (EU 2011). Although it is not currently possible to comment on the final outcome of this investigation, the EU has issued a formal statement of objections notifying the patent holders of suspected violations of EU antitrust rules (EU 2014). Patent holders have the opportunity to respond before a final decision is rendered.

²¹ Due to the fact that not all manufacturers sell vehicles in both regions and not all common manufacturers sell the same models in both regions, the differential in manufacturer and model counts should not be interpreted as an indication of more or less progress in either

manufactured in at least eleven countries, including China. Actual certification data suggests the number of systems is much higher. In Germany alone, HFO-1234yf systems were available on at least 101 vehicle models (reflecting a production volume of over 350,000) in 2015, up from 64 models (representing a production volume of over 200,000) in 2014 and 41 models (representing a production volume of just under 100,000) in 2013 (DUH 2016). This is most significant when one recognizes that it is German automakers, in particular, that favor CO₂ systems. Regardless of whether or not some domestic manufacturers favor CO₂, there are, and will be, substantial numbers of HFO-1234yf systems in operation in Germany.

China: Global Versus National Focus

In the absence of global agreement on the control of HFCs, China can, in all likelihood, nurture a robust *national* automotive manufacturing industry for the foreseeable future without regard to MAC refrigerant. The Chinese market is sufficiently large to allow for the development of parallel MAC system technologies, one based on extra-national requirements and demands that are satisfied by industry responses independent of the Chinese market and a second based solely on industry responses tailored specifically to China. So long as China maintains sufficient national assembly capacity and associated supply chains, the national market is more than large enough to operate in accordance with whatever national requirements Chinese regulators opt to impose (or not impose should the transition away from HFC-134a be viewed as deleterious). However, there are costs associated with either action (i.e., transitioning to lower GWP refrigerants) or inaction (i.e., not transitioning).

Should China elect to defer transition while extra-national markets are actively moving toward a low GWP refrigerant future, the ability for Chinese automakers to develop export markets will be substantially inhibited.²² Chinese manufacturers will be required to maintain separate assembly and supply chains for vehicles produced for the domestic and export markets, in effect subjecting expansion minded domestic manufacturers to the same dual system requirements that would face non-Chinese manufacturers wishing to do business in China. However, while the non-Chinese manufacturers have the advantage of leveraging joint venture relationships to access Chinese manufacturing plants and suppliers, the opposite may be much more difficult for Chinese manufacturers expanding into smaller, more diverse markets. This will put manufacturers interested in developing foreign markets at a competitive disadvantage relative to their purely domestic counterparts not burdened with satisfying differential extra-national requirements.²³ Moreover, as

region. Instead, the counts are merely indicative of the fact that manufacturers are generally moving forward with HFO-1234yf systems.

²² Throughout this discussion it is assumed that there is a financial incentive for maintaining HFC-134a production if China elects not to transition to low GWP refrigerant. Without such incentive, Chinese manufacturers wishing to develop extra-national markets will transition to low GWP refrigerant regardless of national decision making in this regard. Under such a scenario, any global competitiveness issues are inherently resolved. Thus, this paper assumes that there is a financial incentive to continue HFC-134a production.

²³ Here again, it should be recognized that should there be drivers unrelated to air conditioning that would require dual production regardless of Chinese decision making

HFC-134a is phased-out outside of China, it may become necessary for China to establish domestic production plants to meet national demand. Due to the size of the Chinese market, there would be sufficient demand to make such production economically viable, but production constraints will need to be addressed should extra-national production shift to other refrigerants.

A decision to transition to low GWP refrigerant would carry the inherent incremental costs of the alternative MAC system (as described in the discussion above on costs), but would also bolster the ability of the domestic industry to expand globally. Additionally, the size of the Chinese market would likely reduce incremental costs due to high volume efficiencies of scale. While such costs would be borne equally by both globally-minded manufacturers and manufacturers content to compete only domestically, neither would be at any additional disadvantage (vis-à-vis current market forces in play). Domestic manufacturers participating in joint ventures with foreign manufacturers might find transitioning to low GWP refrigerants less disruptive than independent Chinese manufacturers, but it is expected that any advantage would be minor. MAC system components are generally manufactured by independent suppliers willing to satisfy purchase commitments from any automotive manufacturer. The systems themselves are relatively “low tech” as compared with evolving engine and associated management technologies.²⁴ While evolutionary improvements to MAC systems are ongoing, most systems consist of relatively few and generally standardized parts (actuation controls, a compressor, a condenser, one or more evaporators, pressure regulating devices, hoses, and seals). For all but CO₂ systems (due to refrigeration cycle operating differences), the functionality of MAC system components is largely unaffected by the choice of refrigerant (although individual components may be redesigned to better accommodate the properties of any specific refrigerant). Even for CO₂, where the condenser is replaced by one or more gas coolers and the remaining components redesigned for the higher pressure operating characteristics of a CO₂ system, the general function of the various system components remains generally unchanged. Most importantly, these components are available from independent automotive suppliers and generally do not require automotive manufacturer design and development activities beyond those already associated with HFC-134a systems (primarily related to system integration into overall vehicle design). Given this relative standardization and the competitive nature of the automotive supplier market, it is not expected that independent domestic manufacturers would be put at a competitive disadvantage due to any transition to low GWP refrigerants.

From a vehicle service perspective, economic efficiency would also dictate a single universal MAC refrigerant. Regardless of national decision-making, China is almost certain to see some penetration of refrigerants other than HFC-134a in the national fleet – either through importation of extra-national vehicles or through the sales of

related to low GWP refrigerants, then any global competitiveness issues related to the refrigerant decision making are inherently resolved since the dual production of air conditioning systems could be accommodated within an existing dual production system. Thus, it is necessarily assumed that an external dual system driver does not exist.

²⁴ The terminology “low tech” is not intended to diminish either the great strides that have been made and will continue to be made with regard to either MAC system performance and design, or the componentry that facilitate that performance. It is simply intended to distinguish the complexity of the (quasi) independent closed cycle MAC system from that of the more complex propulsion system around which vehicles are constructed.

joint venture manufacturers who simply find it advantageous to offer the same MAC technology across their entire market. For some global manufacturers selling in either the EU or US markets, a homogeneous technology slate will almost assuredly include refrigerant other than HFC-134a. Others may find the cost of low GWP MAC systems sufficiently high to continue offering technology tailored to individual markets, but some low GWP systems will almost certainly penetrate the Chinese fleet. This means that the China service industry will either have to invest in new equipment designed to service low GWP systems or service such systems inefficiently (and ineffectively) using HFC-134a (and bypassing refrigerant-specific service fittings while simultaneously voiding any MAC system warranties). It is possible that HFO-1234yf systems will perform adequately with HFC-134a, but any penetration of CO₂ systems into the market will necessitate the procurement of CO₂ service technology. Thus, even in the absence of an affirmative decision to transition to low GWP refrigerants, the Chinese service industry will still need to develop procedures to undertake repairs on low GWP systems, including (ideally) the proper training of service personnel and the purchase of appropriate equipment. To the extent that such systems comprise only a fraction of the China fleet (as they might under a decision not to transition domestically), the cost effectiveness of such training and equipment would be substantially compromised due to both a lower vehicle population over which to spread costs and the continuing requirement to simultaneously and indefinitely maintain high GWP service equipment and expertise. Conversely, an affirmative decision to transition to low GWP refrigerant allows the service industry to minimize equipment costs (by spreading those costs over a larger affected population and reducing the associated equipment acquisition payback period) and focus on developing efficient service methodologies and expertise. Moreover, this expertise would be transferrable to any global market should such opportunity arise. In short, developing and maintaining a focus on the latest MAC technology would pave the way for a substantially more robust domestic service industry.

Finally, the same efficiency that dictates a single MAC refrigerant from a global competitiveness standpoint, carries over to the minimization of consumer costs (as consumers will bear the added cost of any inefficiencies in both the automotive production and service industries). While HFO-1234yf is currently more expensive than HFC-134a, that premium will decline as production volume increases.²⁵ The added costs of maintaining service equipment capable of addressing a multi-refrigerant fleet and, possibly, a multi-refrigerant production infrastructure will be passed on to consumers should China elect not to transition to low GWP refrigerant. This cost would *only* be minimized under a streamlined single refrigerant response. The fact that global movement is toward a low GWP future ensures that China cannot minimize consumer cost impacts by maintaining and extending the service life of MAC technology that is not consistent with the transition of the largest global automotive markets. In the end, both national efficiency and global competitiveness dictate movement away from the current MAC refrigerant.

²⁵ Note that the terminology “costs” as discussed here do not include offsetting savings associated with health and environmental effects that would avoided due to a reduction on global warming effects. These health and environmental effects are “hidden” costs associated with the continued use of HFC-134a and “hidden” benefits that accrue through any transition to low GWP refrigerant.

HFC-134a Phase-Out Timing

China phased-out the use of CFC-12 as a MAC refrigerant over an eight-year period between 1994 and 2001 (Hu 2010). The duration of that phase-out roughly matches the seven year phase-in (2011 through 2017) of low GWP refrigerant requirements in the EU, but the EU schedule also included a five year lead time so that there was a 12 year period between adoption of the phase-out requirements and the complete prohibition of HFC-134a (EU 2006). The lead time requirement of the EU phase-out is roughly consistent with typical four to six year industry redesign cycles for individual vehicle models, essentially providing manufacturers with the opportunity to incorporate MAC system redesign into their normal product planning. While a number of automakers already have experience transitioning away from HFC-134a (as indicated by the HFO-1234yf penetration statistics presented above), it would still be advantageous for China manufacturers (as well as for the Chinese service industry) if a lead time allowance was built into a decision to phase-out HFC-134a in China. Even with such an allowance, it is still possible to constrain the length of the overall phase-out period to roughly seven or eight years, consistent with the previous CFC-12 phase-out. Assuming manufacturers redesign roughly 20 percent of their models in any given year (i.e., each model is redesigned roughly every five years), an eight year HFC-134a phase-out schedule could be developed along the lines of the example presented in Table 5-1.

Table 5-1. Proposed Phase-In Schedule for Low GWP MAC Refrigerant

Adoption Year Plus (years)	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Example Year	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
Minimum Low GWP Fraction of Models	0%	0%	0%	20%	40%	60%	80%	100%

Such a schedule should accommodate existing industry redesign plans as well as facilitate global competitiveness by allowing those manufacturers exploring extra-national markets to focus their refrigerant compliance efforts in China in a fashion consistent with any refrigerant requirements existing in the target extra-national markets. The schedule also facilitates service industry training and development, allowing repair facilities sufficient time to garner appropriate knowledge and procure equipment. Given the importance of the Chinese automotive market and the volume scale opportunities created by such market size, we see no reason why Chinese manufacturers cannot establish a competitive global-scale presence. To accomplish such expansion, however, China will need to foster technological advances that are at least as aggressive as those currently underway in the major extra-national markets (e.g., EU, US) currently served by global-scale players. Transitioning to low GWP MAC refrigerant should be an integral element of such an expansion effort.

As noted above, China successfully accomplished the transition away from CFC-12 over a timeframe similar to the schedule presented in Table 5-1. Other notable examples of automotive technology introduction over similar (or more aggressive) timeframes include:

- The transition of the entire US light duty vehicle fleet to so-called Tier 1 emission standards during the six year period following adoption of the US Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990 (US 1990).
- The implementation of more aggressive light duty vehicle fuel economy standards in the US over a seven year period following a 2009 release of a notice of intent to implement such standards (US EPA 2010b).

Note that these transitions were more complex than envisioned in any movement away from HFC-134a, both in terms of scope and the initial state of technology development. Technology developers and suppliers generally indicate the possibility of more aggressive transitions. For example, Honeywell, one of the developers of HFO-1234yf believes that a three to six year transition period is possible.

Conclusions and Recommendations

The currently predominate MAC system refrigerant, HFC-134a, possesses significant global warming potential and is emitted in quantities sufficient to warrant its phase-out as an automotive refrigerant. Both the US and EU have moved to eliminate the continued use of HFC-134a, by 2017 in the EU and 2021 in the US. Alternatives to HFC 134a, including HFC-152a, HFO-1234yf, and CO₂, are either currently available or awaiting near term introduction. HFO-1234yf systems are in widespread use throughout the EU and US.

Analysis of a range of policy options indicates that cumulative greenhouse gas emission reductions on the order of 1.5 billion metric tonnes are possible between now and 2050. Moreover, these reductions are cost effective relative to alternative CO₂ reduction technologies in the automotive sector. Under any reasonable scenario, the cost of CO₂ reduction associated with alternative MAC refrigerants is far lower than other engine and vehicle technologies being implemented to control automotive CO₂.

The Chinese automotive market is more than large enough to not only support transition away from HFC-134a, but to add important economies of scale to current global transition efforts. While the Chinese market is sufficiently large to allow either the continuation of the current HFC-134a market or the development of a low GWP alternative market, only the latter course will result in a globally competitive Chinese manufacturing industry. Existing requirements in the EU and US will transition a significant segment of the global market to low GWP refrigerant regardless of national Chinese decision-making. The fait accompli status of this extra national movement ensures that the minimization of domestic impacts can only occur under a transitional scenario. Maintaining a domestic HFC-134a infrastructure as an island in a sea of extra-national low GWP requirements will not only inhibit global competitiveness, but also require the inefficient expenditure of resources for domestic manufacturers wishing to participate on the global stage.

As a result, Chinese national and global interests converge with respect to the continued use of HFC-134a as an automotive refrigerant. Similar to its phase-out of CFC-12 as an automotive refrigerant approximately two decades ago, China should commit to and implement an affirmative, but reasonably paced, phase-out of HFC-134a. Under an eight year schedule beginning in 2017 (as shown in Table 6-1), China could phase-out HFC-134a by 2024, while fully accommodating existing automotive industry redesign plans. Such a schedule will also allow Chinese manufacturers exploring extra-national markets to focus their refrigerant compliance efforts in China in a fashion consistent with any refrigerant requirements existing in the target extra-national markets. Moreover, the schedule also facilitates service industry training and development, allowing repair facilities sufficient time to garner appropriate knowledge and procure equipment.

Given the importance of the Chinese automotive market and the volume scale opportunities created by such market size, we see no reason why Chinese manufacturers cannot establish a competitive global-scale presence. To accomplish such expansion, however, China will need to foster technological advances that are at least as aggressive as those currently underway in the major extra-national markets (e.g., EU, US) currently served by global-scale players.

Transitioning to low GWP MAC refrigerant should be an integral element of such an expansion effort.

Table 6-1. Proposed Phase-In Schedule for Low GWP MAC Refrigerant

Adoption Year Plus (years)	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Year	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
Minimum Low GWP Fraction of Models	0%	0%	0%	20%	40%	60%	80%	100%

References

- Andersen 2015. Andersen, Stephen O. and Sherman, Nancy J., Institute for Governance and Sustainable Development, “HFO-1234yf Automobile Penetration,” December 14, 2015.
- ARB 2011. California Air Resources Board, 2011. LEV III greenhouse gas non-test cycle provisions – technical support document.
- BAM 2010. Ignition behavior of HFO-1234yf. Final Test Report, 22.
https://www.umweltbundesamt.de/sites/default/files/medien/419/dokumente/test_r_eport_hfo1234yf_2010_06.pdf.
- CATARC 2014. China Automotive Technology And Research Center, 2014. China Automotive Industry League, China Automotive Industry Yearbook, 2014 editions. China Machine Press, Beijing (in Chinese).
- Centro Ricerche Fiat 2008. The 4 Fiat pandas experiment and other considerations on refrigerants. Malvicino, C. Presented at the SAE Alternative Refrigerant Systems Symposium in Phoenix, Arizona in June 2008.
- China Industry Online 2016. www.chinaiol.com. Accessed March 16 2016.
- Chen 2008. Chen, J., Shi, J., Zhao, Y., 2008. International latest development of automotive air-conditioning system. Journal of Chemical Industry and Engineering, 59 (S2): 9-13 (in Chinese).
- Clodic 2010. Clodic, D., Barrault, S., Saba, S., 2010. Global inventories of the worldwide fleets of refrigerating and air-conditioning equipment in order to determine refrigerant emissions The 1990 to 2006 updating. Report.
- DUH 2016. German Environmental Aid Association, Vehicle Type Certification Statistics for Germany, private correspondence, January 2016.
- DuPont 2009a. Evaluation of HFO-1234yf as a potential replacement for R-134a in refrigeration applications. Presentation by Thomas Leck, DuPont Fluorochemicals, at 3rd IIR Conference on Thermophysical Properties and Transfer Processes of Refrigerants, June 2009, Boulder, CO, USA.
- DuPont 2009b. HFO-1234yf low GWP refrigerant LCCP analysis. Presentation by Mary Koban, DuPont Fluoroproducts at SAE 2009 World Congress. April 2009, Detroit, MI, USA.
- DECC 2011. U.K. Department of Energy and Climate Change, 2011. Development of the GHG refrigerant and air conditioning model. Prepared by ICF International.
- EU 2006. “Directive 2006/40/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 17 May 2006 relating to emissions from air-conditioning systems in motor vehicles and amending Council Directive 70/156/EEC,” Official Journal of the European Union, L161, 14.6.2006, Page 12.
- EU 2011. European Commission, “Antitrust: Commission opens proceedings against two manufacturers of refrigerants used in car air conditioning,” Press

Release, December 16, 2011, http://europa.eu/rapid/press-release_IP-11-1560_en.htm?locale=en.

EU 2014. European Commission, “Antitrust: Commission sends Statement of Objections to Honeywell and DuPont regarding cooperation on new refrigerant used in car air conditioning systems,” Press Release, October 21, 2014, http://europa.eu/rapid/press-release_IP-14-1186_en.htm.

Façanha 2012. Façanha, C., Blumberg, K., and Miller, J., 2012. Global transportation energy and climate roadmap: The impact of transportation policies and their potential to reduce oil consumption and greenhouse gas emissions. International Council on Clean Transportation. November. Retrieved from <http://www.theicct.org/globaltransportation-energy-and-climate-roadmap>.

FECO and MOFCOM 2007. Foreign Economic Cooperation Office of China and Department of Market System Development Ministry of Commerce of the People’s Republic of China, 2007, Research report on the management and administration of vehicle scrappage (Recylcing of CFC-12 from MAC) (in Chinese).

Forster 2007. Forster, P., V. Ramaswamy, P. Artaxo, T. Berntsen, R. Betts, D.W. Fahey, J. Haywood, J. Lean, D.C. Lowe, G. Myhre, J. Nganga, R. Prinn, G. Raga, M. Schulz and R. Van Dorland, 2007: Changes in Atmospheric Constituents and in Radiative Forcing. In: Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [Solomon, S., D. Qin, M. Manning, Z. Chen, M. Marquis, K.B. Averyt, M.Tignor and H.L. Miller (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA.

Gloel 2014. Gloel, J., Colbourne, D., Oppelt, D., Hasse, V., Hu, J., Langebach, R., 2014. Mitigation of GHG emissions through the use of natural refrigerants for mobile air conditioning in cars in China. 11th IIR Gustav Lorentzen Conference on Natural Refrigerants, Hangzhou, China.

GM 2003. General Motor, 2003. “HFC-152a as the alternative refrigerant.” Hill, William. Presented at the MAC Summit 2003. February 11. 2003.

GM 2008. General Motor, 2008. “General Motors Corporation Assessment of Alternative Refrigerant for EU Regulations.” June 12, 2008.

Henne 2012. Henne, S., Shallcross, D.E., Reimann, S., Xiao, P., Brunner, D., O’Doherty, S., Buchmann, B., 2012 Future emissions and atmospheric fate of HFC-1234yf from mobile air conditioners in Europe. Environ. Sci. Technol. 46 (3): 1650-1658.

Honeywell 2016. Patents. Retrieved 3 February, 2016, from <https://www.1234facts.com/about-us/patents/>.

Hu 2003. Hu, J. and Li, C., 2003. Growing markets of MAC with HFC-134a in China. Peking University and China Association of Automobile Manufacturers, Beijing.

Hu 2009. Hu, J., Wan, D., Li, C., Zhang, J., Yi, X., 2009. Forecast of consumption and emission of HFC-134a used in the mobile air-conditioner sector in China. Adv. Clim. Change Res. 5(1), 1-6 (in Chinese).

Hu 2010. Hu, J., Wan, D., Li, C., Zhang, J., Yi, X., 2010. Forecast of consumption and emission of HFC-134a used in the mobile air-conditioner sector in China. *Adv. Clim. Change Res.* 1, 20-26.

Hu 2013. Hu J. 2013. HFC management in China: challenges and opportunities. Presented at ClimateWorks Non-CO₂ Summit, Dubrovnik, 2013.

ICCT 2012a. International Council on Clean Transportation, 2012. Comparative lifecycle cost assessment of alternative mobile air conditioning systems in the light-duty vehicle sector. Prepared by ICF International.

ICCT 2012b. International Council on Clean Transportation, “The New Passenger Car Fleet in China, 2010 Technology Assessment and International Comparisons,” available at http://www.theicct.org/sites/default/files/publications/ICCT_New_Passenger_Car_Fleet_China_2010.pdf.

ICCT 2015. International Council on Clean Transportation, “Global Transportation Roadmap Model,” July 27, 2015 Version. Model and documentation available at <http://www.theicct.org/global-transportation-roadmap-model>.

IPCC 2001. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Climate Change 2001: The Scientific Basis, “Radiative Forcing of Climate Change,” IPCC Third Assessment Report, Table 6.7, http://www.grida.no/climate/ipcc_tar/wg1/pdf/TAR-06.pdf.

IPCC 2006. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, 2006. Good practice guidance and uncertainty management in national greenhouse gas inventories. Retrieved from <http://www.ipcc-nggip.iges.or.jp/public/gp/english/>.

IPCC 2013. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis, “Anthropogenic and Natural Radiative Forcing, Supplementary Material,” IPCC Fifth Assessment Report (AR5), Table 8.SM.16, http://www.ipcc.ch/pdf/assessment-report/ar5/wg1/supplementary/WG1AR5_Ch08SM_FINAL.pdf.

JAMA 2010. Japan Automobile Manufacturers Association, 2010. Regarding refrigerants used in car air conditioning. July 29, 2010. Available online at www.meti.go.jp/committee/materials2/downloadfiles/g100729b03j.pdf.

Kodjak 2015. Kodjak, D., International Council on Clean Transportation, “Policies to Reduce Fuel Consumption, Air Pollution, and Carbon Emissions from Vehicles in G20 Nations,” May 2015, available at http://www.theicct.org/sites/default/files/publications/ICCT_G20-briefing-paper_Jun2015_updated.pdf.

Liu and Chen 2008. Liu, J., Chen, J., 2008. Development of alternative refrigerants for R134a in mobile air conditioning system. *Chin. J. Refrig. Technol.* 28, 39-41 (in Chinese with English abstract).

MACS 2008. From Montreal to Kyoto: Two decades of change in the mobile A/C industry.

MEPC 2003. Ministry of Environmental Protection of China, 2003. Strategy for CFCs phase-out in refrigeration servicing sector in China (in Chinese).

METI 2013. Japan Ministry of Economy, Trade and Industry, "Cabinet Decision on the Bill for the Act for Partial Revision of the Act on Ensuring the Implementation of Recovery and Destruction of Fluorocarbons concerning Designated Products," April 19, 2013, http://www.meti.go.jp/english/press/2013/0419_01.html

Minjares 2011. Minjares, R., 2011. Refrigerants for light-duty passenger vehicle air conditioning systems. International Council on Clean Transportation working paper. Paper number: 2011-3.

Myhre 2013. Myhre, G., Shindell, D., Breon, F.-M., Collins, Fuglestvedt , W., Huang, J., Koch, D., Lamarque, J.-F., Lee, D., Mendoza, B., Nakajima, T., Robock, A., Stephens, G., Takemura, T., Zhang, H., 2013: Anthropogenic and Natural Radiative Forcing. In: Climate Change, 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [Stocker, T.F., D. Qin, G.-K. Plattner, M. Tignor, S.K. Allen, J. Boschung, A. Nauels, Y. Xia, V. Bex and P.M. Midgley (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA.

Oko-Recherche 2011. Oko-Recherche, Oko-Institute, HEAT International, Danish Technological Institute, Re-phridge, Karlsruhe University of Applied Sciences, Estonia Environmental Research Centre, Ammonia Partnership, 2011. Preparatory study for a review of Regulation (EC) No 842/2006 on certain fluorinated greenhouse gases." Prepared for the European Commission.

Papasavva and Andersen 2011. Papasavva, S. and Andersen, S. O., 2011. Green-MAC-LCCP: Life-cycle climate performance metric for mobile air conditioning technology choice. Env. Progress & Sustainable Energy, 30 (2): 234-247.

PKU 2013. College of Environmental Science and Engineering of Peking University, 2013. The control and management of HFCs – opportunities and challenges (in Chinese).

RAC 2013. Refrigeration and Air Conditioning Magazine, 2013. US car giant GM publicly backs HFO-1234yf. January 7, 2013. Obtained March 16, 2013, at: <http://www.racplus.com/news/us-car-giant-gmpublicly-backs-1234yf/8640815.article?blocktitle=Latest-news&contentID=2332>.

RTOC 2010. 2010 Report of the Refrigeration, Air Conditioning and Heat Pump Technical Options Committee. Prepared by the Refrigeration, Air Conditioning and Heat Pump Technical Options Committee (RTOC).

SAE 2012. SAE International, 2012. Mobile air conditioning system refrigerant emission charts for R-134a and R-1234yf.

SCEPA and THU, 2013. Shandong Circular Economy Promotion Association and Tsinghua University, 2013. Research on the recycling of batteries from low-speed electrical motorcycle and refrigerants from MAC. Report (in Chinese).

Shao 2015. Shao, Z. and Wagner, D., 2015. Costs and benefits of motor vehicle emission control programs in China. International Council on Clean Transportation. June. Retrieved from <http://www.theicct.org/costs-and-benefits-motor-vehicle-emission-control-programs-china>.

- Si and Zhang 2012. Bike Si (斯华克) and Jingkun Zhang (张竟坤), 2012.
浅谈汽车空调维修行业现状-假冒伪劣制冷剂的应对措施. 汽车维修与保养第5期48-49页.
- Su 2015. Su, S., Fang, X., Li, L., Wu, J., Zhang, J., Xu, W., Hu, J., 2015. HFC-134a emission from mobile air conditioning in China from 1995 to 2030. *Atmospheric Environment* 102, 122-129.
- Thompson 2013. Thompson, Mike, Ingersoll Rand, "Climate Change Regulation and the Next Generation of Refrigerants," Undated, but internal file creation date is March 19, 2013,
<http://www.trane.com/commercial/uploads/pdf/cso/138/Refrigerants.pdf>.
- UN 1987. United Nations Environment Programme, Ozone Secretariat, "The Montreal Protocol on Substances that Deplete the Ozone Layer,"
<http://ozone.unep.org/en/treaties-and-decisions/montreal-protocol-substances-deplete-ozone-layer>.
- UN 2015. United Nations Environment Programme, UNEP News Centre, "Montreal Protocol Parties Devise Way Forward to Protect Climate Ahead of Paris COP21," November 6, 2015,
<http://www.unep.org/newscentre/Default.aspx?DocumentID=26854&ArticleID=35543>.
- US 1990. U.S. Government Publishing Office, 42 U.S.C., Chapter 85, Subchapter II, "Emission Standards for Moving Sources," Part A, Section 7521, "Emission Standards for New Motor Vehicles or New Motor Vehicle Engines," as adopted in 1990, available at <https://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/USCODE-2013-title42/html/USCODE-2013-title42-chap85-subchapII-partA-sec7521.htm>.
- US EPA 2010a. United States Environmental Protection Agency, 2010. Final rulemaking to establish light-duty vehicle greenhouse gas emission standards and corporate average fuel economy standards – regulatory impact analysis. Retrieved from: <https://www3.epa.gov/otaq/climate/regulations/420r10009.pdf>.
- US EPA 2010b. United States Environmental Protection Agency, 2010. Light-duty vehicle greenhouse gas emission standards and corporate average fuel economy standards; Final rule. *Federal Register*, 75(88), 25324-25728.
- US EPA 2010c. Transitioning to low-GWP alternatives in MVACs. Retrieved from: <https://www.epa.gov/nscep>. Accessed March 30 2016.
- US EPA and NHTSA 2012. United States Environmental Protection Agency and National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, 2012. Joint technical support document: final rulemaking for 2017-2025 light-duty vehicle greenhouse gas emission standards and corporate average fuel economy standards. Retrieved from: <https://www3.epa.gov/otaq/climate/documents/420r12901.pdf>.
- US EPA 2013. US Environmental Protection Agency, 2013. Global mitigation of non-CO₂ greenhouse gases: 2010-2030. Retrieved from:
https://www3.epa.gov/climatechange/Downloads/EPAactivities/MAC_Report_2013.pdf.

US EPA 2015. United States Environmental Protection Agency, 2015. Protection of stratospheric ozone: change of listing status for certain substitutes under the Significant New Alternatives Policy Program, 80(138), 42870-42872.

US EPA 2016. US Environmental Protection Agency, Significant New Alternatives Policy (SNAP), “Acceptable Substitutes in MVAC: Passenger Air Conditioning in Light-Duty, Medium-Duty, Heavy-Duty and Off-Road Vehicles,” current as listed on March 20, 2016 at <https://www.epa.gov/snap/acceptable-substitutes-mvac-passenger-air-conditioning-light-duty-medium-duty-heavy-duty-and>.

US Government 2015. Interagency Working Group on Social Cost of Carbon, United States Government. 2015. Technical Support Document: Technical Update of the Social Cost of Carbon for Regulatory Impact Analysis under Executive Order 12866.

Vincent 2004a. Vincent, R., Cleary, K., Ayala, A., Corey, R., 2004. Emissions of HFC-134a from light-duty vehicles in California. Presented at th 6th SAE Automotive Alternate Refrigerant Systems Symposium.



Vincent 2004b. Vincent, R., Cleary, K., Ayala, A., and Corey, R., 2004. Emissions of HFC-134a from Light-Duty Vehicles in California. SAE Technical Paper 2004-01-2256, doi:10.4271/2004-01-225.

Xu 2013. Xu, Y., Zaelke, D., Velders, G.J.M.,Ramanathan, V., 2013. The role of HFCs in mitigating 21st century climate change. Atmos. Chem.Phys.,13, 6083-6089.Benefits of HFC Phase-Out.

Yu and Clodic 2007. Yu, Y. and Clodic, D., 2007. Measurement of Leak Flow Rates of Mobile Air Conditioning (MAC) Components - How to Reach a Generic Approach, SAE Technical Paper 2007-01-1186, doi:10.4271/2007-01-1186.

Annex A: Sensitivity of costs and benefits to high emission assumptions

Benefits






Figure A1 Time series of total refrigerant (CO₂ equivalent) emissions for (a) HFO-1234yf, (b) R-744 and (c) HFC-152a by scenarios (with high emission assumptions)




Figure A2. Cumulative reduction of refrigerant emissions (CO₂ equivalent) by 2050 under all scenarios with high emission assumptions




Figure A3. Cumulative reduction of refrigerant emissions (CO₂ equivalent) relative to cumulative emissions under the baseline scenario by 2050 with high emission assumptions

Costs




Figure A4. Cost effectiveness of alternative MAC systems under a high emission scenario. Cost incurred during the MAC useful lifetime were discounted back to the first year using discount rate of 3%




Figure A5. Cost effectiveness of alternative MAC systems under high emission assumption




Figure A6. Total avoided social cost till 2050 induced by transitioning to alternative refrigerants under a high emission assumption.

Annex B: Sensitivity analysis of key input parameters

This annex provides an evaluation of some of the uncertainties in the main analysis. Uncertainties identified in this study include GWP values, emission factor estimates, and cost estimates for alternative MAC systems. Note that in all cases, the main analysis utilizes best estimate data derived from the most credible sources. As a result, the estimates presented in this section are meant to quantify potential sensitivities and not serve as alternative best estimates.

GWP values


In the report, GWP values with climate-carbon feedback were used for both baseline and alternative refrigerants. However, the technical advisory group to this report highlighted that uncertainties remain in these GWP values based on our understanding of the carbon cycle. Therefore, GWP values without climate-carbon feedback are explored here to understand the sensitivity of our results and conclusions to these uncertainties. Table B1 compares the GWP values with and without carbon feedback for both the baseline and alternative refrigerants.

Table B1 GWP values for baseline and alternative refrigerants

	GWP with carbon feedback	GWP without carbon feedback
HFC-134a	1550	1300
HFO-1234yf	1	1
R-744	1	1
HFC-152a	167	138

Cumulative refrigerant (CO_2 equivalent) emissions relative to the BAU scenario calculated using GWP values without climate-carbon feedback are almost identical to those calculated with climate-carbon feedback (see Figure B1). Although not depicted graphically, the cumulative reduction of CO_2 equivalent mass is greater by roughly 19% for all three alternative refrigerants when considering climate-carbon feedback. This is because CO_2 equivalent emissions of HFC-134a are about 19% higher when climate-carbon feedback is considered.

GWP values with climate-carbon feedback have a marginal impact on the relative reductions in GHG emissions estimated. They do not necessarily alter any of the conclusions reached in the report.






Figure B1. Comparison of cumulative refrigerant emission reduction relative to the BAU scenario by using GWP values with and without carbon feedback for (a) HFO-1234yf, (b) R-744 and (c) HFC-152a.

By applying GWP values without climate-carbon feedbacks, the cost of reducing CO₂ emissions on a g/km/vehicle basis increases by about 16%. With cost of transition unchanged, the cost per unit CO₂ equivalent is higher. However, this increase is insignificant compared with the overall cost. Figure B2 gives the sensitivity of cost-effectiveness estimates to GWP values with and without climate-carbon feedback.




Figure B2. Sensitivity of cost-effectiveness to GWP values without climate-carbon feedback under a low emission scenario. Blue and orange bars represent 2016 and 2050, respectively.


Emission Factors

Since emission factors are based on EPA and MPCA datasets, uncertainties with emission factors exist due to possible discrepancies between MAC sectors in the US and China. In order to further validate the benefits resulting from the use of alternative refrigerants and different phase-out policies in China, we conducted a sensitivity analysis of emission rates by doubling MAC regular emission rates and service emission rates. The end-of-life emission rate was also adjusted to be twice as high in order to test the sensitivity of modeled climate benefits to these emission rates²⁶. Figure B3 shows the results of the sensitivity analysis.

The increased emission rates produced a small change in cumulative emission reductions. For HFO-1234yf and R-744, the change in cumulative emission reductions relative to the baseline was less than 0.05%. For HFC-152a, differences are slightly larger but typically less than 5%. These results confirm that the primary driver of emission benefits is the near 100 percent reduction in GWP. In other words, emissions would have to increase by orders of magnitude to have any significant influence on overall CO₂ equivalent reductions. Emission rate uncertainty is not a major factor in evaluating the release of alternative MAC

²⁶ This only serves the purpose of a sensitivity analysis and is not intended to represent any real emission scenarios.

refrigerants. Given its comparatively higher GWP, this assessment is less precise for HFC-152a than it is for HFO-1234yf and CO₂, but the same general rationale holds. The use of low-GWP refrigerants and the implementation of regulations for transition are the major drivers of benefits.






Figure B3. Comparison of the cumulative refrigerant emission reductions for original analysis and sensitivity analysis (doubling the regular emission, service emission rate and end-of-life emission rates) for (a) HFO-1234yf, (b) R-744 and (c) HFC-1

Cost of alternative MAC systems

Due to relatively low penetration of alternative MAC systems in the global marketplace, this study faced a high degree of uncertainty with regard to the

incremental costs of refrigerants and hardware. These costs depend on a variety of factors such as present and future production, present and future demand, and regional differences in these projections. In this report, our approach to uncertainty was to choose values we believe are biased conservatively high.

In order to quantify uncertainty based on a range of costs we found, we present below the effect of a lower bound cost range on our results. For an R-744 system, US EPA estimated in its technical support document to its 2017-2025 light-duty vehicle greenhouse gas emission standards that the incremental cost for R-744 hardware modification ranges from ¥988 - ¥1482 (\$152-\$228) per vehicle. This sensitivity analysis presents the effect of US EPA's lower bound hardware cost estimate ¥988 (\$152). The uncertainty in R-744 refrigerant cost is not evaluated here since we did not find the same degree of uncertainty in cost estimates.

For an HFO-1234yf system, our central source of uncertainty is the cost of the refrigerant. According to HFO-1234yf refrigerant cost estimates contained in the LEV III regulation adopted by the California Air Resources Board, the incremental cost of HFO-1234yf is ¥494 (\$76). A confidential industrial source indicates that the price of HFO-1234yf sold to auto service centers could be as low as about ¥715 (\$110) per kilogram. Here we present the latter estimate as a lower bound on the cost of the HFO-1234yf system. We do not assess uncertainties in system components for HFO-1234yf because the technology is relatively well known and we consider the uncertainties to be low.

Figure B4 shows the range of costs to reduce one gCO₂e/km by transitioning to low-GWP MACs. The range of uncertainty for either the HFO-1234yf or the R-744 system suggests that the cost-effectiveness of reducing GHGs through either system is well below the EU penalty rate for compliance with its CO₂ standard on motor vehicles.




Figure B4 Sensitivity analysis of cost-effectiveness to uncertainties in system costs in 2016

Annex C: China Data Summary

Table C1. Summary of MAC information collected from publications and studies that focus on the China vehicle fleet.

MAC penetration		
% cars with A/C	Notes	Source
100%	Cars with MAC since 2006	Su 2015
MAC charge size		
Charge size, kg	Notes	Source
1.1	charge size in 1990	Hu 2003 cited by
0.9	charge size in 2000	Clodic 2010
0.67	charge size in 2006	
1	average charge size of cars; temporal range was not specified	MEPC 2003 cited by Hu 2010
0.8	default charge size of cars suggested by IPCC	IPCC 2006
0.536	charge size of cars since 2006	Liu and Chen 2008
Operational emissions		
Notes		
30%	operational loss during lifetime concluded from refill at service	MEPC 2013
50 ~ 150 g/yr		Chen 2008
6.25%	calculated using the estimates from Chen (2008) assuming a charge size of 0.8 kg	Su et al., 2015
Service emissions		
Notes		
30%	annual service leak rate	Su 2015
End-of-life emissions		
Notes		
40%	initial charge remaining; assuming recovery efficiency of zero	Hu 2010
80%	initial charge remaining; assuming recovery efficiency of zero	PKU 2013
25%	initial charge remaining; assuming recovery efficiency of zero	Su 2015
Lifetime		
Notes		
12 yr	IPCC default value	IPCC 2006; Hu 2010; Su 2015
15 yr	lifetime estimates	Gloel 2014

Table C1 lists the data regarding MAC retrieved from studies and publications that specifically focused on the China vehicle fleet. In general, the publicly available data is still sparse. Most of the information is retrieved from a limited number of studies, which also cross-reference each other or share similar sources. Specifically to each aspect,

1. MAC penetration in China passenger fleet: Many publications assumed 100% penetration of MAC in the new China passenger vehicles. While this may be well justified based on the communication with automobile manufacturers, direct sales and manufacturing data are preferred but were not available.
2. MAC charge size: A previous global study (ICCT 2013) suggested variability of MAC charge size across different markets. Based on China-specific studies, there is limited information to explain how average system charge size in China compares to other markets. Most China-specific studies assume charge size from global studies.
3. MAC emissions: Emission data used in China-specific studies are mostly adopted from studies in other markets, particularly from Europe and the United States.

In summary, the scarcity of publicly available data and the limited number of research activities on MAC in China posed challenges to this study and to similar studies that have already been conducted in China. Major data gaps still exist, particularly on MAC penetration, MAC charge size and emissions.

We encourage studies and surveys to be performed in China to collect baseline information that is already available in North American and European markets. Normally such activity would be critical to tailoring Chinese policies to the Chinese vehicle market, but our analysis has shown that the benefits of transitioning to low GWP refrigerants are insensitive to the precise quantification of these parameters. This is somewhat unique and is largely driven by the inherent GWP differences of the alternatives themselves, and these differences are independent of any particular vehicle market. Thus, while we continue to encourage efforts to better characterize the Chinese fleet, we do not believe that such efforts will affect the conclusions of this work in any way.